Y4.G74/6:Ut3/4/975

94-2

94th Congress } COMMITTEE PRINT
2d Session }

ELECTRIC AND GAS UTILITY RATE AND FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE INCREASES, 1975

UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA LIBRARIES
DEC 1976
DOCUMENTS DEPT.

PREPARED FOR THE

SUBCOMMITTEE ON INTERGOVERNMENTAL RELATIONS

AND THE

SUBCOMMITTEE ON REPORTS, ACCOUNTING, AND MANAGEMENT

OF THE

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS
UNITED STATES SENATE

BY THE

ECONOMICS DIVISION
CONGRESSIONAL RESEARCH SERVICE
LIBRARY OF CONGRESS

SEPTEMBER 1976

Printed for the use of the Committee on Government Operations

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE
75-495 O WASHINGTON : 1976

For sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office
Washington, D.C. 20402 - Price $1.25
Stock Number 052-070-03645-1

Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2013

http://archive.org/details/gasutili00unit

THE LIBRARY OF CONGRESS

Congressional Research Service

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20540

ELECTRIC AND GAS UTILITY RATE AND FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE INCREASES, 1975

Douglas N. Jones
Specialist in Public Utility and Antitrust Economics

Angela Lancaster
Analyst in Utility Economics
Economics Division

July 9, 1976

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS

ABRAHAM RIBICOFF, Connecticut, *Chairman*

JOHN L. McCLELLAN, Arkansas
HENRY M. JACKSON, Washington
EDMUND S. MUSKIE, Maine
LEE METCALF, Montana
JAMES B. ALLEN, Alabama
LAWTON CHILES, Florida
SAM NUNN, Georgia
JOHN GLENN, Ohio

CHARLES H. PERCY, Illinois
JACOB K. JAVITS, New York
WILLIAM V. ROTH, Jr., Delaware
BILL BROCK, Tennessee
LOWELL P. WEICKER, Jr., Connecticut

Richard A. Wegman, *Chief Counsel and Staff Director*
Paul Hoff, *Counsel*
Paul L. Leventhal, *Counsel*
Eli E. Nobleman, *Counsel*
David R. Schaefer, *Counsel*
Matthew Schneider, *Counsel*
John B. Childers, *Chief Counsel to the Minority*
Brian Conboy, *Special Counsel to the Minority*
Marilyn A. Harris, *Chief Clerk*
Elizabeth A. Preast, *Assistant Chief Clerk*
Harold C. Anderson, *Staff Editor*

Subcommittee on Intergovernmental Relations

EDMUND S. MUSKIE, Maine, *Chairman*

LEE METCALF, Montana
JOHN L. McCLELLAN, Arkansas
LAWTON CHILES, Florida
JOHN GLENN, Ohio

WILLIAM V. ROTH, Jr., Delaware
BILL BROCK, Tennessee
CHARLES H. PERCY, Illinois

Alvin From, *Staff Director*
David Johnson, *Counsel*
Theodore Farfaglia, *Minority Counsel*
Lucinda T. Dennis, *Chief Clerk*

Subcommittee on Reports, Accounting and Management

LEE METCALF, Montana, *Chairman*

JOHN L. McCLELLAN, Arkansas
EDMUND S. MUSKIE, Maine
SAM NUNN, Georgia
JOHN GLENN, Ohio

BILL BROCK, Tennessee
CHARLES H. PERCY, Illinois
LOWELL P. WEICKER, Jr., Connecticut

Vic Reinemer, *Staff Director*
E. Winslow Turner, *Chief Counsel*
Lyle Ryter, *Minority Counsel*
Jack Chesson, *Counsel*
Gerald Sturges, *Professional Staff Member*
Jeanne A. McNaughton, *Chief Clerk*

LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL

THE LIBRARY OF CONGRESS,
CONGRESSIONAL RESEARCH SERVICE,
Washington, D.C., July 9, 1976.

Hon. EDMUND S. MUSKIE,
Chairman, Subcommittee on Intergovernmental Relations.
Hon. LEE METCALF,
Chairman, Subcommittee on Reports, Accounting and Management, Committee on Government Operations, U.S. Senate, Washington, D.C.

DEAR SENATORS MUSKIE AND METCALF: Again this year we have completed at your request a study on fuel adjustment clause actions in the electric and gas utility industries. I am pleased to transmit the study product prepared from the 1975 data provided in response to your questionnaire to the State regulatory commissions. Dr. Douglas N. Jones and Ms. Angela Lancaster of our Economics Division compiled and analyzed the information secured.

I trust that this report will be useful to your legislative activities.

Yours truly,

NORMAN BECKMAN, *Acting Director.*

INTRODUCTION

(By Sen. Edmund S. Muskie and Sen. Lee Metcalf)

In May, 1975 the Government Operations Committee published a Congressional Research Service (CRS) analysis* of responses by State utility regulatory commissions to our questionnaire concerning electric and gas utility rate increases. That survey showed that electric and gas utility bills had increased $9.6 billion in 1974.

This enormous increase amounted to more than one and a half times as much as all utility rate increases during the previous quarter of a century. Two-thirds of the $9.6 billion increase was attributable to fuel adjustment clauses.

No government or private organization collects all the State-by-State rate and fuel adjustment clause (FAC) increases covered in our survey. So last December we sent a similar questionnaire to the commissions. We wanted to determine whether the massive increase of 1974 had put ratepayers on a plateau or a foothill.

The results are in. They show that utility customers are being hoisted upward from the 1974 ledge toward an invisible peak.

Data from 31 States, extrapolated by CRS to all 50 States and the District of Columbia, show that in formal rate case proceedings utilities requested one third more in 1975 than they did in 1974 ($6 billion versus $4.5 billion.) They received a third more in 1975 than in 1974 ($4.1 billion versus $3.1 billion.) But utilities also increased their 1975 revenue by more than twice that $4.1 billion amount through fuel adjustment clauses.

CRS computed an $8.5 billion FAC increase in 1975, two billion dollars more than in the previous year. Thus the total of rate increases achieved through formal regulatory proceedings and FAC's in 1975 totalled $12.6 billion, which is $3 billion more than the comparable 1974 figure.

Of the $12.6 billion, electric utilities received $9.2 billion, $3.3 billion through formal rate cases and $5.9 billion through FAC's. Gas utilities received $3.4 billion, $800 million through formal rate cases and $2.6 billion through FAC's.

The massive and rising fuel adjustment charges need emphasis. They are often automatic and therefore in many instances they escape regulatory challenge. Neither the utility industry nor the Federal Power Commission reports FAC increases. Instead they track only those increases attributable to the more visible formal rate cases.

Congress has wisely determined not to approve the President's proposed utility "reform" legislation on which our subcommittees conducted joint hearings. (Title VII of S. 594.) That bill would have, among other things, mandated Federal and State commission use of fuel adjustment charges. Strong opposition by public witnesses, and

---

*U.S. Congress, Senate, Committee on Government Operations, "Electric and Gas Utility Rate and Fuel Adjustment Clause Increases, 1974," 94th Congress, 1st Session, March 27, 1975.

State regulators speaking through the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners, helped Congress reject that proposal.

However, some of the States have adopted other procedures which lessen their own powers to regulate utility charges and make it more difficult for protestants to counter arguments of utilities. The president of Edison Electric Institute, the electric utility trade association, recently noted with pleasure the adoption in 33 States of "file and suspend" procedures which lead to rate increases before hearings are completed. The Federal Power Commission has also expedited cases at the expense of adequate adversary proceedings. The continuing high rates of return on equity by utilities, and the record rate increases documented in this report, suggest utility consumers may be bearing a disproportionate share of the increased costs of energy.

The data in this report, as in the similar survey published in 1975, deal with utilities regulated by State commissions. Most of them are investor-owned utilities (IOU's). Municipal power systems, public utility districts and privately owned rural electric cooperatives are not regulated by the commissions in many States. Rate increases by those systems which are not regulated by and do not report to the State commissions are not included in this data. These excluded systems account for about one-fifth of the retail sales of electricity and 6 percent of the retail sales of natural gas.

One of the heartening findings of this study is the increased concern about use of fuel adjustment charges in a number of States. FAC's are totally disallowed in North Carolina, Oregon, Utah, Washington, and Wyoming. West Virginia has disallowed FAC's for electric utilities. Nevada refuses to permit their use for residential customers. Eight other States explicitly require commission approval before fuel adjustment clauses can go into effect. However, only five States reported that audits are conducted of fuel cost procurement and fuel adjustment clause operation.

State commissions also appear to be looking more closely at utility advertising, and applying restraints upon payment by customers, through rates, of advertising and sales promotion payments other than those related to energy conservation.

The 94th Congress has taken a modest but noteworthy step toward helping utility consumers equalize the burden of increased energy costs. Section 205 of Public Law No. 94–385, the Federal Energy Extension Act, includes the provision inserted by the Senate Government Operations Committee which authorizes a $2 million grant program for establishment and operation of offices of consumer services to assist consumers in their presentations before utility regulatory commissions. This concept has been strongly endorsed by consumers—and as strongly denounced by utilities—during many days of hearings by our subcommittees, beginning in 1969. The amount of money authorized in H.R. 12169 for consumer representation is a small fraction of the amount spent on rate cases by utilities, which pass these costs on to the consumers who are often unrepresented. But operation of the section 205 program—if funded—can provide a valuable laboratory in the various States, and a mechanism for decreasing the inordinate rate increase requests made by both electric and gas utilities.

CONTENTS

Page

Letter from Norman Beckman to Senators Edmund S. Muskie and Lee Metcalf ---- v

Introduction: By Senators Edmund S. Muskie and Lee Metcalf ---- VII

Electric and Gas Utility Rate and Fuel Adjustment Clause Increases, 1975:

- I. Introduction ---- 1
- II. Summary and Interpretation of Responses—Questions I, II, III ---- 3
  - Table I—Electric utility general rate increases granted and pending since December 31, 1974 by States responding ---- 4
  - Table II—Gas utility rate increases granted and pending since December 31, 1974 by States responding ---- 5
  - Table III—Electric utility rate increases through the operation of fuel adjustment clauses, by States responding ---- 7
  - Table IV—Gas utility rate increases through the operation of fuel adjustment clauses, by States responding, 1973–75 ---- 9
  - Calculations of the actual and derived amounts of rate increases in the electric and gas utility industries based on 1975 data provided ---- 11
- III. Summary and Interpretation of Responses—Questions IV, V, and VI ---- 13
  - Fuel adjustment clauses rolled into basic issues ---- 13
  - Accuracy of fuel adjustment clauses ---- 17
  - Table V—Summary of commission responses to checks on FAC accuracy ---- 18
  - Table VI—Commission responses to allowance of advertising and sales promotion expenses and trade organization dues as operating expenses 1975 ---- 21
- IV. Postscript ---- 26

APPENDIX A

Letter sent to all State commission chairmen ---- 29

Enclosure to State commission chairmen—questionnaire ---- 30

APPENDIX B

- Table B–V—Electric and gas utility rate increases granted by State public utility commissions in 1975 ---- 32
  - Summary of table B–V ---- 47
- Table B–VI—Electric and gas utility rate increases pending before State public utility commissions, December 1975 ---- 49
  - Summary of table B–VI ---- 57
- Table B–VII—Electric and gas utility rate increases through the operation of fuel adjustment clauses by State, 1975 ---- 58
  - Summary of table B–VII ---- 70
- Table B–VIII—Fuel clause adjustments included in basic rates in 1975 ---- 72
- Table B–IX—Fuel adjustment clauses rolled into basic rates in 1975 in relation to FACs in December 1974 and 1975 ---- 78
- Table B–X—Commission comments on FAC accuracy and/or responses to criticisms of FAC operations ---- 80

ADDENDUM

Additional response:

- Letter to Senators Edmund S. Muskie and Lee Metcalf from the Public Service Commission of the District of Columbia, Mary E. Brazelton, executive secretary, dated July 2, 1976, in response to letter of December 18, 1975, with attachments ---- 91
  - State commission questionnaire—Table of energy utility rate increases, 1975 ---- 92

ELECTRIC AND GAS UTILITY RATE AND FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE INCREASES, 1975

I. Introduction

The operation of Automatic Adjustment Clauses - in particular the Fuel Adjustment Clause (FAC) - continues to be of very substantial interest and consequence in the electric power industry. Recall that automatic FACs are provisions in utility company rate schedules which allow the pass-through to rate payers without commission hearings of changes (typically upward) in the cost of fuel from suppliers The counterpart study of 1974 data revealed that some $5.3 billion in electric FAC charges and $1.2 billion in gas FAC charges were added to consumer power bills (37 jurisdictions reporting extrapolated to 51)[1]. It was thought that the 1975 data which comprise this follow-on study might well reveal a plateau to FAC increases as the earlier increases played out, prices became more stable, public opposition to repeated increases became more widespread and effective, and utility companies moved to "roll in" fuel charges in general rate schedules,

---

[1] Senate Committee on Government Operations Committee Print dated March 27, 1975 entitled "Electric and Gas Utility Rate and Fuel Adjustment Clause Increases, 1974," by Douglas N. Jones and Susan Dovell, Congressional Research Service, Library of Congress.

Instead, compilation and analysis of the 1975 data (from 32 states similarly extrapolated) indicate that FAC charges increased by $[illegible] billion over 1974 while general rate increases granted by commissioners were up by an additional $1.0 billion and the amount of pending rate increases barely declined. Several other regulatory issues are also treated in this report. The detailed data compiled from responses to the 1975 questionnaire appear as working Tables V-X in Appendix B.

In December 1975 Chairmen Muskie and Metcalf of the Subcommittee on Intergovernmental Relations and Subcommittee on Reports, Accounting and Management, respectively, of the Senate Government Operations Committee sent a joint letter to the state regulatory commissions with an accompanying questionnaire (Appendix A)

In order to make the response data comparable the questionnaire retained basically the same design as that of the previous year, requesting state-by-state and company-by-company data on electric and gas rate increases granted, pending, and occasioned by automatic fuel adjustment clauses for 1975. Three questions were added pertaining to the "folding in" of FAC charges into the basic rates; other responses of commissions to criticism arising from the operations of FACs; and commission policy regarding the treatment of advertising and sales promotion expenses and dues to trade organizations. By June 15 thirty-five responses were received (as against 37 last year) of which 31 were usable for purposes of analysis.[1] Taken together with the 1974 study the present study provides a three-year view (973-1975) of this aspect of the post oil embargo period in the energy industry.

II. Summary and Interpretation of Responses - Questions I, II, III

Table I presents a summary of electric utility general rate increases granted and pending by 31 states responding. Of the $3 billion requested, almost $2 billion was granted in 1975. Another $2.686 billion was pending at the end of the year, and if the same ratio of granted to requested were to obtain (2/3), the total electric increases atttibutable to 1975 applications would be $3.77 billion ($1.981 billion + 2/3 x $2.686 billion).

Comparing these several figures with the 1974 findings, one sees the pending amounts to be about the same ($2.686 billion *vs.* $2.647 billion); the amount requested in 1975 some 28 percent greater ($3.007 billion *vs.* $2.352 billion); and the amount granted some $300 million more ($1.981 billion *vs.* $1.681 billion). The ratio of granted to requested was the same in both years.

Table II contains a summary of gas utility general rate increases granted and pending for 1975. Of the $740 million requested, $484 mil-

---

[1] The 35 states responding to the 1975 questionnaire were not all represented in the 1974 counterpart study - there were some additions and some subtractions to the list. A 67 percent response is, of course, an entirely respectable statistical return. The reason that the number of responses used differs from table to table (in some instances) is that state commissions often answered some questions but not others.

SUMMARY TABLE I

ELECTRIC UTILITY GENERAL RATE INCREASES GRANTED AND PENDING SINCE DEC. 31, 1974 BY STATES RESPONDING

(in thousands of dollars)

State	Amount Requested	Amount Granted	Amount Pending
Alaska 1/			
Arizona	$ 67,818	$ 67,818	$ 21,212
California	586,935	265,607	666,375
Colorado	50,880	36,972	3,254
District of Columbia 1/			
Florida	274,439	177,315	—
Georgia	311,675	119,918	--
Hawaii	2,663	1,949	6,264
Idaho	9,413	8,487	34,748
Illinois	288,520	251,435	86,372
Indiana 2/			
Iowa	32,623	32,093	44,456
Kansas	33,507	21,558	17,767
Louisiana	1,130	716	—
Maine	12,598	10,696	21,700
Michigan	179,329	162,424	300,216
Mississippi	--	--	42,950
Missouri	34,318	23,187	131,365
Montana	--	--	20,798
Nebraska 3/			
Nevada	73,063	40,045	27,041
New Hampshire	10,141	22,606 4/	--
New Jersey	291,861	62,269	174,030
New Mexico	3,900	1,853	--
New York	257,358	233,583	778,037
North Carolina	185,298	173,707	81,780
North Dakota	15,128	9,264	—
Oregon	53,720	53,720	36,217
South Carolina	53,058	43,130	72,826
South Dakota 2/	23,010	21,834	--
Utah			
Virginia	181,199	123,743	—
Washington	6,264	5,491	177
West Virginia	11,036	2,876	78,783
Wisconsin	7,758	6,239	35,669
Wyoming	785	729	222
Total	$3,007,417	$1,980,548	$2,686,259

1/ Unavailable for inclusion.

2/ No response to this question.

3/ Not regulated.

4/ Includes recoupment.

SOURCE: Appendix Tables B-V and B-VI.

SUMMARY TABLE II

GAS UTILITY GENERAL RATE INCREASES GRANTED AND PENDING SINCE DEC. 31, 1974 BY STATES RESPONDING
(in thousands of dollars)

State	Amount Requested	Amount Granted	Amount Pending
Alaska 1/			
Arizona	$ 1,649	$ 1,649	$ 711
California	107,640	86,050	153,961
Colorado	5,529	3,893	4,400
District of Columbia 1/			
Florida	7,118	4,520	--
Georgia	--	--	--
Hawaii	3,727	2,450	--
Idaho	643	643	--
Illinois	25,243	24,317	80,785
Indiana 2/			
Iowa	4,335	3,295	11,749
Kansas	14,214	7,535	12,830
Louisiana	468	275	--
Maine	--	--	57
Michigan	125,019	60,837	--
Mississippi	--	--	4,000
Missouri	5,550	3,902	14,744
Montana	229	180	31,900
Nebraska 3/			
Nevada	38,137	19,559	718
New Hampshire	1,200	816	1,093
New Jersey	106,265	—	9,027
New Mexico	9,317	--	--
New York	135,959	89,374	106,582
North Carolina	5,952	4,817	--
North Dakota	4,291	4,291	81
Oregon	4,100	3,605	1,766
South Carolina	--	--	--
South Dakota 2/			
Utah	36,137	31,689	--
Virginia	6,784	4,562	2,618
Washington	84,784	81,535	3,760
West Virginia	4,903	4,644	43,355
Wisconsin	2,513	2,232	3,680
Wyoming	3,979	2,618	--
Total	$ 739,688	$ 484,418	$ 487,817

1/ Unavailable for inclusion.

2/ No response to this question.

3/ Not regulated.

SOURCE: Appendix Tables B-V and B-VI.

lion was granted (65 percent). An almost identical amount was pending on Dec. 31, 1975--$488 million. If the granted/requested ratio continued, the final total for gas increases attributable to 1975 filings would be $801 million ($484 million + . 65 x $488 million).

Again comparing these gas utility figures with the 1974 results, it is found that the total amount requested in 1975 was up 10 percent ($740 million vs. $672 million); the amount granted was down 4 percent ($484 million vs. $505 million); and the amount pending was half as much at the end of 1975 ($487 million vs. $979 million) The ratio of granted to requested was 75 percent in 1974, but 65 percent in 1975.

Summing the electric and gas utility general rate increases results in 1975 totals of $3. 747 billion requested; $2. 465 billion granted; and $3. 174 billion pending. Clearly, the general increases ratepayers experienced in 1974 were not of the "one shot" variety, as the 35 states responding to the 1975 questionnaire reported the same amount granted as did 37 states in 1974.

Table III displays electric utility rate increases through the operation of fuel adjustment clauses for 1973, 1974 and 1975. Note that FAC revenue, having almost tripled from 1973 to 1974 ($2. 95 billion vs. $1. 07 billion), rose by another 23. 4 percent for 1975 over 1974 ($3. 64 billion vs. $2. 95 billion). Further, FAC revenues which made up 6 percent of total electric utility revenues in 1973 had grown to 16. 5 percent of the total in 1975 (Column 5). The median was also 16. 5

SUMMARY TABLE III

ELECTRIC UTILITY RATE INCREASES THROUGH THE OPERATION OF FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSES, BY STATES RESPONDING--1973, 1974, and 1975

(dollar figures in thousands)

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
State	Total Electric Revenue, 1975	FAC Revenue, 1975	FAC Revenue, 1974	FAC Revenue, 1973	1975 FAC Revenues as a Percent of 1975 Total Revenues	Percent Increase (Decrease) of 1975 FAC Revenues over 1974 FAC Revenues
Alaska	NA	$ 3,027 1/	794	-0-	--	181.2 %
Arizona 2/						
Arkansas				NA		
California	$ 3,402,374	60,787	$ 585,975	$149,020	1.8 %	(89.6)
Colorado	373,850	21,236	19,063	5,187	5.7	11.4
Connecticut				47,598		
District of Columbia				2,555		
Florida	2,085,933	308,042	388,088	64,809	14.8	(20.6)
Georgia	1,132,078	283,841	169,644	122,203	25.1	67.3
Hawaii	385,554	51,623	18,339		13.4	181.5
Idaho	--	--	10,093	-0-	--	--
Illinois				139,334		
Indiana				15,019		
Iowa	467,651	48,155 3/	33,914	NA	10.3	42.0
Kansas	2/	NA	17,838	22,913	--	--
Louisiana	585,442	73,995	63,638	--	12.6	16.3
Maine				2,000	30.0 4/	--
Maryland				32,934		
Massachusetts				NA		
Michigan	1,861,568	309,082	196,524	24,421	16.6	57.3
Mississippi	289,789	69,578	59,865	31,170	24.0	16.2
Missouri	863,111	75,587	9,238		8.8	718.2
Montana			11,988	-0-		--
Nebraska 5/						
Nevada 6/						
New Hampshire		684	NA	NA	--	--
New Jersey	1,853,244	605,513	578,212	186,375	32.7	14.6
New Mexico 2/				NA		
New York	4,245,984	940,144	155,471	86,042	22.1	504.7
North Carolina	1,673,610	154,560	130,670	-0-	9.2	18.3
North Dakota	NA	948	1,943		--	(51.2)
Oregon 7/						
Pennsylvania				110,919		
South Carolina	1,824,517	378,297	227,055	-0-	20.7	66.6
South Dakota 2/						
Tennessee				618		
Utah 7/				10		
Virginia	1,023,124	232,159	207,702	NA	22.7	11.8
Washington 7/						
West Virginia				17,809		
Wisconsin		23,526	66,849	8,012	--	(64.8)
Wyoming		2,636	--	7/	--	--
Total	$22,067,829 8/	$3,643,420	$ 2,952,903	$ 1,068,948	16.5 %	23.4 %

NA - Not available.

1/ First nine montns extrapolated to year.

2/ No response to this question.

3/ Includes some "roll ins".

4/ No dollar amounts provided.

5/ Not regulated.

6/ No FAC for residential--no other response.

7/ No FAC.

8/ Total revenues for 1973 were $17,554,839,000.

SOURCE: Appendix Table B-VII.

percent. Of the states responding, the range of FAC revenue to total revenue was a low of 1.8 percent in California to a high of 32 7 in New Jersey. Changes in 1975 FAC revenue over 1974 FAC revenue varied greatly with Missouri (718 percent), New York (505 percent), Hawaii (182 percent), and Alaska (181 percent) at the high end of increases, and California (-90 percent), Wisconsin (-65 percent), North Dakota (- 51 percent), and Florida (-21 percent) reporting decreases (Column 6).

Table IV presents similar FAC data for gas utilities. With 27 states responding, the 1975 FAC revenues were over three times the reported 1974 FAC revenues (twice that reported by the 37 states in the 1974 study). FAC gas revenues as a percent of total revenue ranged from lows of 5.5 percent in Hawaii and 8.5 percent in Virginia to highs of 39 percent in Montana and 89 percent in California (Column 5). The ten states responding with data which allowed calculation of the 1975 FAC revenue change over 1974 presented a range of a 28 percent decrease in the case of Idaho to increase of 646 percent (North Dakota), 187 percent (West Virginia), 171 percent (Virginia) 168 percent (Montana), 92 percent (California), 82 percent (New Jersey) -- see Column 6.

The important question of the extent to which fuel associated rate increases have been "rolled in" power rates as general rate increases through changing the fuel cost component in utility rate schedules is treated in a following section (infra, p 13).

SUMMARY TABLE IV

GAS UTILITY RATE INCREASES THROUGH THE OPERATION OF FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSES, BY STATES RESPONDING--1973, 1974, and 1975

(dollar figures in thousands)

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
State	Total Gas Revenue, 1975	FAC Revenue, 1975	FAC Revenue, 1974	FAC Revenue, 1973	1975 FAC Revenues as a Percent of 1975 Total Revenues	Percent Increase (Decrease) of 1975 FAC Revenues over 1974 FAC Revenues
Alaska	NA	-0-	-0-	-0-		
Arizona 1/						
Arkansas				NA		
California	$621,061	$550,090	$287,192	$137,874	88.6%	91.5%
Colorado	1/	34,405*	1/	-0-		
Connecticut				172		
District of Columbia				362		
Florida	62,195	8,010	8,078	-0-	12.9	(0.8)
Georgia	--	-0-	-0-	-0-		
Hawaii	22,679	1,258	NA		5.5	NA
Idaho	81,488	7,276	10,093	-0-	8.9	(27.9)
Illinois		290,766	1/	126,477	--	--
Indiana				-0-		
Iowa	270,135	84,841	48,652	NA	31.4	74.4
Kansas	NA	NA	-0-	-0-		
Maine				237	23.0 2/	
Maryland				7,211		
Massachusetts				NA		
Michigan		--	-0-	13,932		
Mississippi	--	--	-0-	-0-		
Missouri	--	--				
Montana	81,611	32,151	11,988	-0-	39.4	168.2
Nevada 3/						
New Hampshire		--	--	-0-		
New Jersey	599,857	166,696	91,391	58,729	27.8	82.4
New Mexico 1/				NA		
New York	--	261,138	-0-	75,509	--	--
North Carolina	--	--	-0-	-0-		
North Dakota	NA	5,301	711		--	645.6
Oregon 4/		-0-				
Pennsylvania				65,255		
South Carolina	--	--	-0-	-0-		
South Dakota 1/						
Tennessee				-0-		
Utah		--		-0-		
Virginia	317,768	27,113	9,995	NA	8.5	171.3
Washington 4/						
West Virginia	--	13,644	4,750	-0-	--	187.2
Wisconsin	--	130,118	21,001	18,186		
Wyoming		7,766	--	4/	--	--
Total	2,056,794	1,621,583	493,841	503,854		

NA - Not available.

1/ No response to this question.

2/ No dollar amounts provided.

3/ No FAC for residential--no other response.

4/ No FAC.

* PGA

SOURCE: Appendix Table B-VII.

What then, is the overall picture of electric and gas utility rate increases for 1975 and how does this compare with the previous year? The following (unnumbered) master table contains the grand totals and their derivation.

Data from the 31 states usefully responding are set out in Step 1 and extrapolated to 51 jurisdictions in Step 2. Note that one-third more was requested in 1975 over 1974 ($6. 0 billion *vs.* $4. 5 billion), and a billion dollars more was granted ($4. 1 billion *vs.* $3. 1 billion). The amount pending at end 1975 was virtually the same as at end 1974 ($5. 2 billion *vs.* $5. 4 billion), and if the ratio of granted-to-requested continues to hold, then an additional $3. 5 billion in rate increases will be attributable to 1975 filings (2/3 of $5. 2 billion). The new total for general rate increases would thus become $7. 6 billion as against a comparable figure of $6. 7 billion calculated in the 1974 study.

Step 3 displays the actual FAC electric and gas totals of the 31 reporting states and Step 4 extrapolates these to 51 jurisdictions. The result is an FAC grand total of $8. 5 billion or $2. 0 billion higher than 1974 ($6. 5 bililion). The national totals within these figures are Electric $5. 9 billion (*vs.* $5. 3 billion in 1974) and Gas, $2. 6 billion (*vs.* $1. 2 billion in 1974). If the FAC total is added to the general rate increases granted and likely to be granted from 1975 applications, the final aggregate resulting from utility industry filings in 1975 would be $16. 1 billion ($8. 5 billion + $7. 6 billion) as against a comparable figure of $13. 2 billion attributable to 1974. This is approximately one percent of our Gross National Product.

CALCULATIONS OF THE ACTUAL AND DERIVED AMOUNTS OF RATE INCREASES IN THE ELECTRIC AND GAS UTILITY INDUSTRIES BASED ON 1975 DATA PROVIDED

(in billions of dollars)

	Step 1.			Step 2 1/			
	Actual 31 Jurisdictions			Extrapolated to 51 Jurisdictions			
General Rate Increases	Electric	Gas	Total	Electric	Gas	Total	(1974 Grand Total)
Requested	$3.0	$.7	$3.7	$4.9	$1.1	$6.0	($+4.5)
Granted	2.0	.5	2.5	3.3	.8	4.1	(+3.1)
Pending	2.7	.5	3.2	4.4	.8	5.2	(+5.4)

	Step 3			Step 4 1/			
	Actual 31 Jurisdictions			Extrapolated to 51 Jurisdictions			
	Electric	Gas	Total	Electric	Gas	Total	
Fuel Adjustment Charge Increases	$3.6	$1.6	$5.2	$5.9	$2.6	$8.5	
				(+5.3)	(+1.2)	(+6.5)	(Grand Total 1974) 2/

1/ Assumes remaining 20 responses are proportionate to actual 31.

2/ Extrapolated from 37 responses--some from different states than the 1975 replies.

Examination of Appendix Tables B-V, V-VI, and B-VII yields additional information of some importance. For the 280-odd general rate increases reported in the electric and gas data provided the average percent increase requested was 20 percent (Column 3 of Appendix Table B-V). The range was complete--from 1 percent to 100 percent, and there were frequent cases of multiple increases filed by the same utility company in 1975. The average allowable rate of return was 8.24 percent on the rate base, a figure depressed somewhat by the inclusion of various cooperatives. Still about 10 percent of the utility companies included in the state-by-state response show allowable rates of return in excess of 9.0 percent with 21 of those greater than 10 percent (Column 5). On the other hand, of those commissions providing both the allowable rate of return and the actual rate of return experienced by utility companies regulated by them only a handful of the companies is earning the allowable rate (Column 5 and 6). Not surprisingly, the requested rates of return associated with the general rate increases pending for electric and gas utilities (Appendix Table B-VI) are substantially higher than allowed rates of return for those companies. For the 95 companies where complete data on this question were provided 29 requested a rate of return above 10 percent and several above 11 percent (Column 5) The average requested was 8.61 percent.

Of interest too are Columns 5 and 6 of Appendix Table B-VII which portray the December 1975 FACs in cents/kwh (or cents/therm) with

December 1974. In the year interval, most utility company's FACs went up -- many by substantial amounts, e.g. New Jersey, 9 to 12-fold for certain electric companies and 6 times for gas; Georgia, 7-fold for electric; Montana, 4-fold for gas; Hawaii, 30-fold in one case (electric); Iowa and Virginia,, 2 to 4 times for gas; Missouri and Colorado, 2 to 4 times for electric; West Virginia, 3 times for gas; North Dakota, 3 to 5 times for gas; and Kansas, 2 to 5-fold for electric FAC unit prices. California reported some mixed changes in the electric sector (some declines in gas), while New York recorded general declines in electric. A subsequent section treats this particular issue in greater detail (infra, p. 15).

III. Summary and Interpretation of Responses - Questions IV, V, and VI

A. Fuel Adjustment Clauses Rolled into Basic Rates

In last year's questionnaire and analysis, the emphasis was on increases in electric and gas general revenues as well as increases in revenues through the operation of fuel adjustment clauses. This year, the Commissions were asked several additional questions. One (Question IV) was to indicate the amount of fuel costs previously recovered through adjustment clauses that now are included in the base tariff. The purpose of this question is to get some indication of the extent to which there has been a substitution of fuel cost recovery by use of general rate increase (i.e. inclusion in basic rates themselves) as opposed to recovering these additional fuel costs through operation of FACs. In determining total electric and gas revenue increases attributable to changes in the fuel cost component, both FAC revenues and FAC roll-ins must be considered. Appendix Table B-VIII shows Commission responses to question IV, for electric and gas fuel clauses rolled into basic rates. 1/

Only 19 of the commissions which allow FACs in whole or in part responded to this question, and of these, only 16 indicated some roll-ins of electric or gas clauses. Three states -- California, Georgia and Mississippi -- indicated roll-ins of FACs in base rates for the year 1974 only.

On the electric side, the highest roll-ins reported were in Florida and New York, each with 1.5 cents per kwh for a utility in those states. The average electric roll-ins for any one utility averaged about 0.55 cents per kwh, as reported by the Commissions. Typically average revenues for all classes of customer were about 3.0 cents per kwh.

On the gas side, only Florida, Hawaii, Illinois, New Jersey, New York, North Dakota and Virginia reported gas clause roll-ins for a total of 26 utilities. Here, the highest purchased gas clause roll-in was for an interruptible gas tariff in New York, a whopping 7 cents per therm. The average rate roll-in of the reporting Commissions was about 3 cents per therm.

1/ The responses include a few roll-ins for years other than 1975, particularly for 1974 and 1976.

From the sketchy responses of the Commissions to this question, it is difficult to determine if there is any propensity of Commissions which require prior approval of FACs to more or less quickly roll into base tariffs fuel cost increases. Of course, if the fuel costs are included in base rates and not recovered through a separate fuel clause, it is more difficult to reflect by lower rates any declines in fuel costs which utilities might experience without again going to the Commission. On the other hand, when fuel costs are included in rates, there is the assurance that Commissions have reviewed the costs prior to rate inclusion, rather than allowing unexamined fuel cost passthroughs.

A second hoped for finding from this question was one which indicated the total increases in fuel costs as reflected in rates. To do this, Appendix Table B-IX was prepared which shows separately for electric and gas rate roll-ins the amount of the inclusion [Col. (1)] and the December 1974 and December 1975 FACs [Cols. (2) and (3), respectively]. Only Commissions which responded to question IV on the amount of FACs roll-ins and responded to question III giving FACs for December 1974 and 1975 were included in this table. Also, the data on roll-ins were limited to what was allowed in 1975.[1] Only 7 Commissions responding for 29 utilities are shown on the table.

From these data a calculation was made of the percent that 1975 roll-ins were of December 1974 fuel adjustment clauses [Col. (4) . Next, the percentage increase (or decrease) in FACs from December

---

[1] An exception to this was Kansas, wherein an electric roll-in for Kansas Gas and Electric was granted in December 1974 to be effective in 1975.

1974 to December 1975 [Col. (5)] was calculated. The sum of these data, shown in Col. (6), indicates the total increase (or decrease) in fuel charge recovery since December 1974 as reflected both in clauses and in the fuel portion of the base tariff.

Although the results are limited and mixed, consider the New York Commission's response on electric rates as an example. The roll-ins during the year in New York typically were over 50 percent of the December 1974 clause, with a range of between 14 percent and 96 percent. This is consistent with stated Commission policy to include fuel costs in base rates rather than reviewing FACs prior to operation. The actual percentage change in FACs from December 1974 to December 1975 in all cases declined, from a small 1 percent to as much as 73 percent. But this decline is misleading in that total fuel charges, as reflected in rates, throughout this period both increased, by as much as 51 percent and declined, by as much as 42 percent. Notice that for two utilities in the state, New York State Electric and Gas and Niagara Mohawk, the overall fuel charge recovery through residential rates declined, while the fuel recovery for non-residential rates increased.

All in all, however, the data provided cannot be said to be complete enough or representative enough to support or disprove the hypothesis that there has been a widespread folding of fuel cost increases into basic utility tariffs in substitution for FAC charges.

B. Accuracy of Fuel Adjustment Clauses

The second new question on this year's questionnaire concerns Commission policy regarding verification of FAC accuracy and Commission response to criticisms arising from the operation of FACs. Each state Commission's response to this question is shown on Appendix Table B-X and summarized on Table V. Clearly both legislative and public pressures have resulted in improvements in Commissions' policies toward fuel adjustment clauses in the last year.

Out of the 29 responses to this question, 7 state commissions indicated that there were no FACs allowed for all or some of their rate schedules. The states which totally disallowed FACs were: North Carolina, Oregon, Utah, Washington, and Wyoming. The North Carolina's Commission disallowance was a result of legislative action which occurred in 1975. Also in 1975, the West Virginia Commission disallowed automatic adjustment clauses for electric utilities, while gas adjustments clauses were still allowed. The Nevada Commission indicated that FACs for residential customers have never been allowed, while for other classes of customers, there are no prohibitions against fuel adjustments.

For 8 of the states, Commission approval is explicitly required before FACs can go into effect. These states are: Colorado, Florida, Indiana, Kansas, Louisiana, Mississippi, New Jersey and North Dakota. On last year's survey, four commissions -- California, Florida, New Jersey and Wyoming -- reported that hearings were

SUMMARY TABLE V

SUMMARY OF COMMISSION'S RESPONSES TO CHECKS ON FAC ACCURACY

State	Disallow FAC in whole or in part	Allow FACs			Policy change in 1975 or under consideration
		Require prior Commission approval	Conduct audits of costs	Review only	
Arizona			X		X
California					X
Colorado		X 1/		X 1/	
Florida		X	X		X
Georgia			X		
Hawaii				X	
Illinois				X	
Indiana		X			X
Kansas		X			
Louisiana		X			
Maine				X	X
Michigan			X		X
Mississippi		X	X		
Montana				X	
Nevada	X 2/				
New Hampshire			X		
New Jersey		X			
New Mexico				X	
New York				X	
North Carolina	X				X
North Dakota		X			
Oregon	X				
South Carolina			X		
Utah	X				
Virginia				X	
Washington	X				
West Virginia	X 3/			X 3/	X
Wisconsin				X	
Wyoming	X 4/				

1/ FAC no longer automatic for Public Service Company of Colorado, the largest utility in the state, except for downward adjustments. Other utilities in the state are subject to review.

2/ Disallowed only for residential.

3/ Disallowed only for electric utilities; review only for gas utilities.

4/ Except wholesale rate adjustments outside their jurisdiction.

SOURCE: Appendix Table B-X.

required before adjustments could be made. This year, California indicated only that an investigation and review of fuel adjustments were underway, and Wyoming responded that clause adjustments, other than wholesale rate increases outside their jurisdiction, were not allowed. So in the year 1975, six new states indicated review requirements prior to the operation of fuel adjustments. (Colorado is included here because fuel clause adjustments for the largest utility in the state are no longer automatic when increases are requested; for decreases in rates, the clause is automatic.)

All of the Commissions which allow FACs but do not require hearings before instituting changes in fuel adjustment clauses (a total of 14 Commissions, plus West Virginia on gas utilities) indicated that there was at least some review procedure for fuel clauses. But only five of these Commissions responded that there were audits conducted of the utilities' fuel costs, fuel procurement practices, and/or FACs operations. These Commissions are: Arizona, Georgia, Michigan, New Hampshire, and South Carolina. For those Commissions with review procedures, the extent of the review does seem to vary. Contrast, for instance, the responses of two commissions -- Hawaii and Montana. The Hawaii Commission "requires complete documentation of all fuel increases including statements from the fuel supplier as well as all calculations made in arriving at the final fuel adjustment." On the other hand, the Montana Commission responded that it conducted "periodic examination" of the utility's data "to insure accuracy and validity." The frequency of reviews also varies, to some degree because of the frequency of application for changes in the level or the form of the clause. Some states review monthly the FACs others on "at least" an annual basis.

Certainly the variety of responses to this question indicates the lack of uniformity in application and operation of fuel adjustment clauses in U. S. utilities; the increased unease with which the operation of the charges is viewed by legislatures, commissions, and rate payers; and the kind of changes introduced by some of these parties to mitigate some of the worst aspects of FAC operations.

C. Advertising and Promotional Expenses

The final new question added to this year's questionnaire concerns Commission treatment of advertising and sales promotion expenses and dues and/or donations to trade organizations. The point of this question was to determine, in a time of need for energy conservation, if energy consumption were, in fact, encouraged by allowing promotional expenses by utilities as a cost of doing business and therefore recoverable in rates. The results are shown on Summary Table VI.

We first identified whether, as a matter of Commission policy, advertising or sales promotion expenses were allowed as expenses to be recovered through rates or whether such expenses had to be paid essentially by the stockholders. Of the twenty-two commissions responding to this question, only three -- Georgia, New Jersey, and New York -- universally allow advertising and sales promotion

SUMMARY TABLE VI. COMMISSION RESPONSE TO ALLOWANCE OF ADVERTISING AND SALES PROMOTION EXPENSES AND TRADE ORGANIZATION DUES AS OPERATING EXPENSES
1975

	Advertising and Sales Promotion Expenses				Dues to Trade Organizations		Policy Changes in 1975	Comments
Commission	Included as expenses	Not included	Included for conservation or safety	Specified prohibitions	Included as expenses	Not included		
Arizona Corporation Commission			allowed as operating expenses if advocate conservation					
California Public Utilities Commission			only under specific guidelines (see comments)	promotional advertising allowed if paid for by investors in the utility	dues allowed to be included in operating expenses		none	Advertising included as expense only when it 1) advocates conservation practices through factual data; 2) facilitates an adequate supply of electric energy through discussion of plant siting, safety, environmental impact.
Colorado Public Utilities Commission			only beneficial topics to consumer (see comments)		judged on case by case basis and permitted only if beneficial to ratepayer		prior to 1975, restricted advertising expense to an average amount per customer	Beneficial topics include: energy supply, cost of service, environmental protection, safety, and conservation and efficient use of energy, insulation.
Florida Public Service Commission							no changes in 1975	
Georgia Public Service Commission	included as operating expenses			no limitations	included		no changes	Commission has strongly suggested that advertising and promotion expenses be of a fuel saving nature.
Hawaii Public Service Commission		does not allow for rate making any promotional advertising or other promotional expenses		no specified limitations	allowed to be included in rate making			
Idaho Public Utilities Commission				no response				
Illinois Commerce Commission			see comments	promotional advertising allowed if paid for by investors				Advertising expenses which inform consumer on how to use utility service more efficiently for the purpose of conserving energy may be included in rates.
Indiana Public Service Commission			only if advertising expenditures fall w/in certain categories or if proven to be of material benefit to customers	promotional advertising allowed if costs borne by stock holder			adopted rule about categories in 1975	Eight categories of utility advertising allowed for rate making purposes: 1) energy conservation 2) safety information 3) cost reduction 4) cost, availability and applicability of equipment 5) shortage and peak period conservation 6) educational material 7) excavation near buried cables 8) legal notices

SUMMARY TABLE VI (Cont.)

Commission	Advertising and Sales Promotion Expenses				Dues to Trade Organizations		Policy Changes in 1975	Comments
	Included as expenses	Not included	Included for conservation or safety	Specified prohibitions	Included as expenses	Not included		
Iowa State Commerce Commission				no response				
Kansas State Corporation Commission	included in most cases				included in most cases		none	
Louisiana Public Service Commission	goodwill advertising is allowed	promotional advertising is disallowed			allowed as operating expenses			
Maine Public Utilities Commission							none since 1972	
Michigan Public Service Commission				no response				
Minnesota Dept.of Public Service				no response				
Mississippi Public Service Commission		sales promotion allowances discontinued several years ago	urged utilities to restrict advertising to energy conservation and/or to benefit customer		under examination by Commission		increased Commission activities	
Missouri Public Service Commission				no response				
Montana Public Service Commission			see comment					Advertising and sales promotion are allowed only to the extent that they "encourage energy conservation, product safety or inform the public of the availability of alternatives forms of energy or recommend usage at times of lower rates or lower demand."
Nevada Public Service Commission				no response				
New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission	institutional advertising "in reasonable amounts" allowed as expense	since 1973, have disallowed promotional advertising and expenses	conservation advertising allowed		allowable expenses			
New Jersey Dept. of Public Utilities	advertising or development expenses to foster normal growth are legitimate charges upon income for rate purposes within limits of reason			no limitations on sales promotion or advertising. "Perfectly reasonable and good business to offer inducements to prospective customers to increase consumption"			no changes in 1975	Presently investigating advertising policies and related costs of each electric and gas utility to draw up a set of guidelines for rate case purposes.

SUMMARY TABLE VI (Cont.)

Commission	Advertising and Sales Promotion Expenses: Included as expenses	Advertising and Sales Promotion Expenses: Not included	Advertising and Sales Promotion Expenses: Included for conservation or safety	Advertising and Sales Promotion Expenses: Specified prohibitions	Dues to Trade Organizations: Included as expenses	Dues to Trade Organizations: Not included	Policy Changes in 1975	Comments
New Mexico Public Service Commission			guidelines directed to safety and conservation only	discourage advertising or promotional activities designed to increase consumption				Currently engaged in a rule making proceeding to determine whether advertising and promotional activities should be passed on to rate payers.
New York State Public Service Commission	included for electric utilities	political advertising must be paid for by stockholders	institutional advertising which is in the public interest may be included in customer rates (see comments)	gas companies prohibited from any "promotional" advertising to increase purchase of utility services			amended rule to prohibit gas companies from engaging in any promotional activities to retain existing customers at previous levels of consumption or to encourage potential customers to install dual-fuel equipment. Previously allowed above promotional activities.	Institutional advertising included in rates: 1) appeals for conservation of gas or electricity 2) notification of emergency and its procedures 3) instructions in proper use of equipment 4) information about new rates, billing, meter reading 5) advice about hazards 6) reports on rate and service difficulties and their remedies, projections of new capacity additions, plans for new and improved means of providing service.
North Carolina Utilities Commission		encouraging indiscriminate and wasteful consumption of energy must be borne by company's stockholders	any advertising which encourages energy conservation through educational means or marketing energy saving devices is deemed a reasonable operating expense	institutional and goodwill advertising by electric and gas utilities while not prohibited is reviewed on a case-by-case basis in rate proceedings for reasonable operating expenses				
North Dakota Public Service Commission		disallows institutional or promotional advertising in all cases				disallows much of dues and donation expenses	policies in effect before 1975.	

SUMMARY TABLE VI (Cont.)

	Advertising and Sales Promotion Expenses				Dues to Trade Organizations		Policy Changes in 1975	Comments
Commission	Included as expenses	Not included	Included for conservation or safety	Specified prohibitions	Included as expenses	Not included		
Oregon Public Utility Commission	see comments						no changes in 1975	Rule making policy pending at time of questionnaire response regarding advertising and sales expenditures. Hearing scheduled 1/19/76.
South Carolina Public Service Commission			energy conservation advertising only allowed in recent cases					
South Dakota Public Utilities Commission					no response			
Utah Public Service Commission		major gas and electric utilities	pending see comments	allowed but not included in expenses if promotional--possibly changed in 1976	dues allowed for return making purposes		none	Presently in rule making procedure that would limit advertising and sales expense for all utilities to amounts expended for conservation or safety programs.
Virginia State Corporation Commission		disallows advertising and sales promotion expenses which encourage electricity consumption	allows advertising which encourages energy conservation					
Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission					no response			
Wisconsin Public Service Commission	limited to just and reasonable levels under changing conditions			none	limited to just and reasonable levels under changing conditions			The inclusion of sales promotion, advertising and trade organization dues as an operating expense is judged on a case-by-case basis.
West Virginia Public Service Commission					no response			
Wyoming Public Service Commission					no response			

Note: This table was prepared in large part by Deborah Dunaway, CRS - Economics Division.

Source: 1975 Commission responses to questionnaire.

expenses to be recovered in rates.[1] The Georgia Commission has urged that the advertising be directed at fuel saving, and the New Jersey Commission is presently investigating utilities' policies on advertising with an eye toward establishing guidelines for recovery through rates. A third Commission, Kansas, also indicated that such expenses are allowed in rate making in "most cases." Two other commissions, New Hampshire and Wisconsin, indicate that advertising expenses are allowed, but are limited to "reasonable" levels. On the whole, state commissions seem to be changing their policies with respect to advertising and sales promotion expenses. The general rule is that these expenses are recoverable through rates only if the message is energy conservation or, alternatively, some other consumer oriented topic.

Secondly, those commissions which responded that they allow utilities to include dues to trade organizations in operating expenses have been separately identified. Of the eleven Commissions responding specifically to this part of the question, six (California, Georgia, Hawaii, Louisiana, New Hampshire and Utah) unqualifiedly allowed dues to trade organizations to be recovered through rates. The other five Commissions qualified the allowance, ranging from allowance in "most cases" to allowance only if "beneficial to the ratepayer." The Mississippi commission is reviewing its policy on trade organization dues. No Commission completely prohibited dues on expense items to be recovered through rates.

---

[1] For electric utilities only in the case of New York.

Finally, the Commissions were asked to indicate whether there had been any Commission policy changes in these areas during 1975. Only three of the thirteen Commissions responding to this part of the question indicated that 1975 was a year of change on these issues. These were: Colorado, Indiana and New York. At least five other Commissions indicated some consideration of advertising, sales promotion and trade organization dues currently being undertaken through rulemaking or on an other, less formal basis, even though for these commissions no policy decision had been reached. It would seem, then, that this is not viewed by commissions as a high priority item for reconsideration.

IV. Postscript

That the debate over the impact of fuel cost charges in electric bills, whether by general rate increases or by fuel adjustment charges of varying degrees of automaticity, will continue seems amply demonstrated by this study. Relief for the ratepayer is not in sight where a 1974 bill of $3. 1 billion in general rate increases is followed in 1975 with an additional $4. 1 billion; and a 1974 FAC bill of $6. 5 billion is followed by a $8. 5 billion total in 1975.

Nor is the practice of "rolling in" changes in fuel supply costs so that they appear in basic rate schedules of utility companies instead of standing separate (and visible) as FAC additions without its pluses and minuses from the consumer's point of view. On the one hand, the practice helps satisfy the complaint that the operation of automatic adjustment charges is antithetical to good regulation because they are outside normal commission proceedings (i. e. full and public hearings). But it is cause for worry that rolled in fuel charges tend to get "hidden" in basic rate schedules and in practice are therefore more difficult to "get out" of utility tariffs (once in) than an FAC charge appearing prominently on the monthly electric bills of the rate paying public.

Finally, it is clear from the data presented in this report that the theme of energy conservation is neither universally nor uniformly pursued by state regulatory commissions with respect to their regulation and treatment of the utilities' promotional activities and expenses.

lam

CRS - 28

APPENDIX A

LETTER SENT TO ALL STATE COMMISSION CHAIRMEN

December 17, 1975

DEAR _______________: Last year our Subcommittees conducted a survey of fuel adjustment clause increases allowed by State utility commissions. The information we collected was widely discussed and helped provide the basis for a clearer congressional review of the utility industry and its problems.

In the past year, the nation has experienced many changes in its energy situation. Supplies have returned to near normal levels. Prices have continued to rise. Federal programs have been developed to treat certain specific problems.

So that we may gauge the impact of some of these changes on the utility industry and update the information we collected last year, we would appreciate it very much if you would complete the enclosed questionnaire as it applies to electric and gas utilities.

The questionnaire is designed for reporting information for the entire calendar year 1975. And it will be most helpful if you complete and return it no later than February 29, 1976. If you have any questions or difficulties regarding it, please call David Johnson, Counsel to the Subcommittee on Intergovernmental Relations, at 202/224-4718, or Jack Chesson, Counsel to the Reports, Accounting and Management Subcommittee, at 202/224-1474. The completed questionnaires should be sent to the Subcommittee on Intergovernmental Relations, 508 Carroll Arms, Washington D.C. 20510, Attention: Mr. Johnson.

For your information, we have enclosed a copy of the fuel adjustment clause survey made last year.

Thank you for your assistance in this important matter.

Sincerely,

Edmund S. Muskie, Chairman,
Subcommittee on Intergovernmental Relations.

Lee Metcalf, Chairman,
Subcommittee on Reports, Accounting and Management.

ENCLOSURE TO STATE COMMISSION CHAIRMEN

QUESTIONNAIRE

Table of Energy Utility Rate Increases, 1975

I. Rate Increases Granted by ______________________________ during 1975
(Type in state regulatory body name)

Utility	Type (Electric or Gas)	Amount Requested		Amount Granted	Allowed Rate of Return (1975)	Actual Rate of Return (1975)	Date of Disposition
		$ Change	%Increase				

II. Rate Increases Pending Before Your Commission

Utility	Type (Electric or Gas)	Amount Requested		Allowed Rate of Return (1975)	Requested Rate of Return (if different)	Date Filed
		$ Change	%Increase			

III. Rate Increases Through Operation of Automatic Fuel Adjustment Clauses (FAC)*

Utility	Type (Electric or Gas)	Total Revenue (1975)	1975 Revenues from FAC	1974 Revenues from FAC	December 1975 FAC in $/kwh or ¢/therm	December 1974 FAC Revenues in ¢/kwh or ¢/therm

IV. If previous FAC has been folded into basic rates, please give date and amount folded in.

V. Please enclose comments regarding Commission actions to maintain FAC accuracy, or to otherwise respond to criticism arising from the operation of fuel adjustment clauses.

VI. What is your commission policy regarding allowance of advertising and sales promotion, including dues to trade organizations for these purposes, in operating expenses? If commission policy changed in 1975 please describe changes.

(*) FAC includes both fuel adjustment clauses (electric utilities) and purchased gas adjustment clauses (gas utilities).

CRS - 31

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX TABLE B-V. ELECTRIC AND GAS UTILITY RATE INCREASES GRANTED BY STATE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSIONS IN 1975

State Commission and Company	(1) Type	(2) Amount Requested $ Change	(3) Amount Requested % Increase	(4) Amount Granted	(5) Allowed Rate of Return	(6) Actual Rate of Return	(7) Date of Disposition
Arizona Corporation Commission							
Arizona Public Service	Electric	$67,818,000	-- %	$44,701,000	7.882%	NA %	10/30/75
Southern Union Gas Co.	Gas	1,649,433	--	846,000	7.0	NA	3/14/75
California Public Utilities Commission							
San Diego Gas & Electric Co.	Electric	86,433,000	8.0-27.25	33,658,000	8.75	NA	6/30/75-10/16/75
Pacific Gas & Electric Co.	"	158,446,000	14.3	150,152,000	8.65	NA	9/16/75
Pacific Power & Light Co.	"	2,375,000	19.8	1,547,000	8.40	NA	3/25/75
Cal.-Pac.	"	531,000	5.0+10.4+22.1	445,000	8.35-9.04	NA	1/28/75-11/25/75
Bay Point Light & Power Co.	"	42,000	32.1	32,000	9.5	NA	9/16/75
Southern Calif. Water Co.	"	160,000	9.3	148,000	7.19	NA	11/18/75
Southern Calif. Edison Co.	"	338,948,000	21.0	79,625,000	8.7	NA	12/31/75
		586,935,000		265,607,000			
Pacific Gas & Electric Co.	Gas	73,475,000	13.3	63,230,000	8.0	NA	9/21/75
San Diego Gas & Electric Co.	"	13,664,800	15.75	3,100,000	8.75	NA	10/16/75
Southwest Gas Corp.	"	727,000	9.85	321,700	9.05	NA	7/25/75
Southern Calif. Gas Co.	"	19,339,000	2.7	19,339,000	8.5	NA	1/1/75
Cal.-Pac.	"	434,000	8.35 + 9.3	59,000	8.35-9.04	NA	2/15/75-8/17/75
		107,640,000		86,050,000			
Colorado Public Utilities Commission							
Public Service Company	Electric	40,843,000	10.2	27,780,000	8.89	—	11/11/75-12/23/75
Home Light & Power	"	900,000	9.79	238,000	9.31	—	10/31/75
City of Lamar	"	179,000	23.88	179,000	--	—	5/28/75
Town of Holly	"	7,000	29.86	7,000	—	--	8/6/75
City of Longmont	"	41,000	15.68	41,000	—	--	8/28/75
City of Fountain	"	31,000	3.03	31,000	—	--	9/16/75
City of Glenwood Springs	"	26,000	11.0	0	—	--	10/5/75
Colorado-Ute Electric Assn.	"	3,474,000	14.96 + 15.62	3,434,000	5.41 & 6.11	--	3/18/75-10/1/75
Delta-Montrose Rural Power	"	78,000	7.0 + 24.8	74,000	4.73 & 5.61	--	6/1/75 -9/27/75
Empire Electric Assn.	"	194,000	11.28	194,000	3.03		9/19/75
Grand Valley Rural Power Lines	"	297,000	12.8 + 9.3	297,000	5.55 & 5.47	--	5/1/75 - 12/4/75
Garrison County Electric Assn.	"	87,000	12.92	87,000	5.26	--	6/11/75
Holy Cross Electric Assn.	"	714,000	10.47 + 8.13	714,000	6.0 & 6.24	--	10/13/75- 9/6/75
K.C. Electric Assn.	"	49,000	3.23	49,000	5.56	--	10/15/75
Morgan County REA	"	557,000	2.84+9.33+6.4	557,000	5.7	—	11/6/75-12/24/75
Mountain Parks Electric, Inc.	"	170,000	11.6	165,000	5.44	--	4/22/75
Mountain View Electric Assn.	"	275,000	11.15	259,000	6.26	--	11/26/75
Poudre Valley REA	"	863,000	12.3 + 10.45	860,000	5.46 & 2.95	—	8/16/75
San Isabel Electric Services	"	416,000	11.86+ 11.28	416,000	4.4 & 3.2	--	7/29/75-11/13/75
Southeast Colorado Power Assn.	"	369,000	6.1 + 7.1	369,000	5.6	--	5/20/75-10/15/75

APPENDIX TABLE B-V (Cont.)

State Commission and Company	(1) Type	(2) Amount Requested $ Change	(3) Amount Requested % Increase	(4) Amount Granted	(5) Allowed Rate of Return	(6) Actual Rate of Return	(7) Date of Disposition
Colorado Public Utilities Commission (Cont.)							
Sangre DeCristo Electric Assn.	Electric	$ 212,000	14.87+6.24%	$ 208,000	5.3 & 5.4%	--	9/1/75-12/1/75
White River Electric Assn.	"	175,000	12.92+7.04	90,000	5.4 & 5.6	--	8/11/75-10/22/75
Yampa Valley Electric Assn.	"	748,000	18.0 +3.96	748,000	4.11 & 4.7	--	4/24/75-12/1/75
White River Electric Assn.	"	111,000	8.72	111,000	5.03	--	11/23/75
Wheatland Electric Coop.	"	5,000	35.05	5,000	5.6	—	7/5/75
		50,880,000		36,972,000			
Comfurt Gas Co.	Gas	31,000	14.95	31,000	8.12	NA	8/22/75
Greeley Gas Co.	"	563,000	7.02	450,000	9.61	NA	10/16/75
Ignacio Municipal Gas System	"	16,000	48.67	16,000	--	NA	6/1/75
Peoples Natural Gas Div.	"	1,902,000	19.56	888,000	8.94	NA	9/3/75
Public Service Co. of Colo.	"	2,945,000	2.61	2,441,000	8.84	NA	4/11/75
Town of Rangely	"	17,000	16.77	17,000	--	NA	11/4/75
Rocky Mountain Natural Gas	"	10,000	11.21	10,000	10.3	NA	10/30/75
Salida Gas Service Co.	"	45,000	8.04	40,000	10.25	NA	10/8/25
		5,529,000*		3,893,000*			
Florida Public Service Commission							
Florida Power & Light Co.	Electric	143,000,000	--	77,377,918	9.12	8.68	4/1/75
Florida Power Corp.	"	65,600,000	--	45,081,074	8.66	8.51	7/22/75
Florida Public Utilities-Marianna	"	463,747	--	306,671	8.46	2.78	11/17/75
Gulf Power Co.	"	22,375,000	--	17,433,263	8.24	8.10	5/7/75
Tampa Electric Co.	"	43,000,000	—	37,115,845	8.49	7.40	5/21/75
		274,438,747		177,314,771			
Florida Gas Co.	Gas	2,647,392	--	1,171,302	9.67	5.68	12/11/75
Peoples Gas System	"	2,986,421	--	2,203,997	9.10	7.22	7/1/75
City Gas Co.	"	1,484,599	--	1,144,428	9.23	8.90	3/4/75
		7,118,412		4,519,727			
Georgia Public Service Commission							
Georgia Power Co.	Electric	305,000,000	39.22	116,086,142	9.35%	9.22%	4/24/75
Savannah Electric & Power Co.	"	6,675,000	12.16	3,831,395	9.68	8.45	8/25/75
		311,675,000		119,917,537			
Hawaii Public Utilities Commission							
Kauai Electric Co.	Electric	2,663,000	32.5	1,949,100	8.94	--	12/11/75
Gasco, Inc.	Gas	2,169,000	10.6	1,618,300	9.38	--	1/10/75
Gasco, Inc.	"	1,557,500	7.0	832,000	8.94	--	11/26/75
		6,389,500		4,399,400			

APPENDIX TABLE B-V (Cont.)

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
		Amount Requested			Allowed Rate of	Actual Rate of	Date of Disposi-
State Commission and Company	Type	$ Change	% Increase	Amount Granted	Return	Return	tion
Idaho Public Utilities Commission							
Washington Water Power	Electric	$ 607,000	3.89%	$ 607,000	8.4%	-- %	8/8/75
Utah Power & Light	"	3,559,000	13.3	3,000,000	8.4	--	7/1/75
" " "	"	5,247,000	--	4,879,888	8.4	--	1/13/75
		9,413,000		8,486,888			
Washington Water Power	Gas	643,000	4.24	643,000	8.4	--	8/8/75
Illinois Commerce Commission							
Illinois Power Co.	Electric	17,435,794	9.56	17,435,794	8.78	--	2/13/75
Central Illinois Light Co.	"	14,264,400	15.0	13,421,000	8.65	--	2/20/75
Central Illinois Public Serv. Co.	"	11,700,000	8.97	11,530,000	8.35	--	1/16/75
South Beloit Water, Gas, & Elec.	"	185,200	8.1	193,905	8.27	--	3/26/75
Iowa-Illinois Gas & Elec.	"	3,935,000	13.9	2,699,300	8.75	--	8/21/75
Commonwealth Edison Co.	"	241,000,000	15.6	206,155,000	8.75	--	8/30/75
		288,520,000		251,435,000			
Illinois Power Co.	Gas	9,000,000	9.2	8,582,000	8.56	--	2/13/75
Central Illinois Light Co.	"	7,700,000	13.12	7,660,000	7.59	--	2/20/75
Central Illinois Public Service Co.	"	2,800,000	7.72	2,375,000	8.95	--	1/16/75
Iowa-Illinois Gas & Electric Co.	"	1,912,000	9.1	1,935,000	8.95	--	8/21/75
Iowa Gas Co. of Illinois	"	120,000	15.56	97,111	8.5	--	3/19/75
Monarch Gas Co.	"	75,000	12.9	32,113	8.75	--	9/24/75
Peoples Gas, Light & Coke Co.	"	3,300,000	.88	3,300,000	less than 8.5	--	7/24/75
North Shore Gas	"	336,000	.78	336,000	" " 8.89	--	7/24/75
		25,243,000		24,317,000			
Iowa State Commerce Commission							
Iowa Public Service Co.	Electric	3,800,000	7.0	3,800,000	7.88	--	8/1/75
Iowa Electric Light & Power Co.	"	16,104,818	24.0	16,104,818	8.91	--	4/1/75
Iowa Power & Light Co.	"	9,269,131	14.0	9,269,131	8.4	--	9/19/75
Amana Society Service Co.	"	49,423	11.0	44,030	10.5	--	7/22/75
Park View Utilities	"	12,945	12.0	7,450	9.67	--	8/29/75
Interstate Power Co.	"	3,387,000	8.13	2,868,036	7.66	--	10/22/75
		32,623,317		32,093,465			
Keokuk Gas Service Co.	Gas	297,500	7.0	297,500	9.61	--	3/27/75
North Central Public Service Co.	"	290,268	7.0	105,837	10.644	--	10/30/75
Iowa Power and Light Co.	"	2,863,608	9.6	2,141,979	8.4	--	9/19/75
Interstate Power Co.	"	883,335	9.72	749,587	7.71	--	8/1/75
		4,334,711		3,294,903			

APPENDIX TABLE B-V (Cont.)

State Commission and Company	(1) Type	(2) Amount Requested $ Change	(3) Amount Requested % Increase	(4) Amount Granted	(5) Allowed Rate of Return	(6) Actual Rate of Return	(7) Date of Disposition
Kansas State Corporation Commission							
Kansas Power & Light	Electric	$11,104,000	13.0%	$7,132,000	8.43%	NA %	2/26/75
Kansas Gas & Electric	"	6,422,000	14.4	3,813,000	8.80	NA	7/14/75
CMS Electric Coop.	"	162,000	21.2	144,790	5.20	NA	3/3/75
DS & O Electric Coop.	"	137,564	8.4	137,564	5.17	NA	2/5/75
Victory Electric Coop.	"	150,750	17.6	150,750	4.89	NA	3/5/75
Brown-Atchison Electric Coop.	"	99,298	16.2	78,818	3.34	NA	2/5/75
Great Plains Electric Coop.	"	96,637	10.2	96,637	5.16	NA	2/12/75
Coffey County Electric Coop.	"	175,634	15.8	133,697	5.25	NA	4/2/75
Sekan Electric Coop.	"	188,668	21.7	70,000	6.50	NA	3/25/75
Western Electric Coop.	"	105,317	6.7	105,317	5.40	NA	5/28/75
Butter Rural Electric Coop.	"	292,681	27.0	275,496	5.30	NA	6/4/75
Sumner-Cowley Electric Coop.	"	343,519	33.9	343,519	5.41	NA	7/16/75
City of Pomona	"	1,539	26.9	1,539	--	--	5/20/75
Sedgwick County Electric Coop.	"	46,561	4.2	46,561	5.69	NA	10/8/75
Coop Electric P & L and Sugar Valley	"	249,000	23.37	249,000	5.42	NA	10/27/75
Norton-Decatur Electric Coop.	"	196,326	17.4	196,326	5.50	NA	2/20/75
Ninnescan Rural Electric Coop.	"	232,599	22.95	232,599	5.07	NA	11/19/75
Kansas Gas & Electric Co.	"	13,500,000	19.2	8,349,948	8.5-8.8	NA	8/31/75
		33,507,000		21,557,561			
City of LaCygne	Gas	1,876	20.0	1,876	--	--	1/6/75
Greeley Gas Co.	"	224,891	3.10	53,796	9.42	NA	7/29/75
Peoples Division of Northern NG	"	931,208	7.57	836,847	8.31	NA	5/5/75
Arkansas-Louisiana Gas Co.	"	1,028,994	20.62	897,144	14.0 (on equity)	NA	8/14/75
Mac County Gas Co.	"	10,000	20.0	10,000	--	--	4/16/75
Commercial Pipeline Co.	"	33,398	31.59	25,000	9.75	--	3/26/75
Kansas Power & Light	"	1,866,681	--	1,866,681	6.87	NA	7/10/75
Gas Service Co.	"	7,988,668	11.52	3,790,210	8.90	NA	7/7/75
Tri-City Gas Co.	"	52,486	NA	43,000	9.50	NA	7/15/75
Enterprise Gas Assn.	"	2,500	3.81	2,500	--	--	7/29/75
City of Eskridge	"	8,400	17.5	8,400	--	--	11/19/75
Kansas Nebraska NG	"	2,064,708	NA	0	NA	--	10/26/75
		14,214,000		7,535,454			

APPENDIX TABLE B-V (Cont.)

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
		Amount Requested		Amount	Allowed Rate of	Actual Rate of	Date of Disposi-
State Commission and Company	Type	$ Change	% Increase	Granted	Return	Return	tion
Louisiana Public Service Commission							
Dixie Electric Membership Corp.	Electric	$ 869,000	%	$ 566,000	4.19%	NA %	3/5/76
Pointe Coupee Electric Memb. Corp.	"	261,000		150,000	3.22	NA	3/5/76
		1,130,000		716,000			
Burnside Gas & Fuel, Inc.	Gas	5,000		5,000	7.2	NA	5/2/75
LaPlace Gas & Fuel, Inc.	"	23,000		12,000	--	NA	2/26/76
Reserve Public Utilities Corp.	"	25,000		19,000	7.2	NA	10/16/75
South Coast Gas & Fuel Co.	"	415,000		239,000	8.5	NA	3/5/76
		468,000		275,000			
Maine Public Utilities Commission							
Woodland Water & Electric Co.	Electric	31,871	18.4	929,010	9.4	NA	2/13/75
Lubec Water & Electric District	"	20,572	1.0	20,572	--	NA	1/13/75
Rangeley Power Co.	"	93,882	14.8	13,166	8.6	NA	4/24/75
Central Maine Power Co.	"	12,452,000	12.54	9,733,608	8.8	NA	5/1/75
		12,598,325		10,696,346			
Michigan Public Service Commission							
Consumers Power Co.	Electric	72,159,000	13.3	66,280,642	8.06	--	1/23/75
Detroit Edison Co.	"	93,048,000	12.29	86,087,000	8.57	--	2/3/75
I & M Electric Co.	"	6,457,809	21.29	6,433,000	8.08	--	6/9/75
Upper Peninsula Power Co.	"	5,108,167	35.7	2,891,834	8.0	--	9/29/75
Michigan Power Co.	"	1,877,000	21.85	335,669	8.64	--	11/24/75
Wisconsin Public Service Co.	"	679,000	24.83	395,379	8.38	--	11/24/75
		179,328,976		162,423,524			
Consumers Power Co.	Gas	54,157,000	11.2	29,194,000	7.97	--	6/2/75
Michigan Consolidated Gas Co.	"	66,400,000	13.7	29,500,000	--	--	8/4/75
Michigan Power Co.	"	2,152,000	9.3	392,000	8.64	--	11/24/75
Southeastern Michigan Gas Co.	"	2,065,000	10.7	1,585,377	7.71	--	6/30/75
Wisconsin Public Service Corp.	"	245,000	17.2	166,056	--	--	11/24/75
		125,019,000		60,837,433			
Mississippi Public Service Commission		none					

APPENDIX TABLE B-V (Cont.)

State Commission and Company	(1) Type	(2) Amount Requested $ Change	(3) Amount Requested % Increase	(4) Amount Granted	(5) Allowed Rate of Return	(6) Actual Rate of Return	(7) Date of Disposition
Missouri Public Service Commission							
Ark-Mo	Electric 1/	$ 2,635,444	21.5 %	$ 2,220,396	8.67 %	3.24 %	1/21/75
Mo-Public Service	"	10,350,000	11.1	5,593,684	8.22	6.71	6/25/75
Mo-Power & Light	"	4,100,000	5.9	2,217,309	8.48	5.58	12/18/75
Empire District	"	4,076,000	6.6	2,125,000	9.02	7.84	12/10/75
Sho-Me Power	"	1,381,789	9.8	1,381,789	3.25	3.25	4/1/75
Missouri Utilities	"	1,452,365	3.9	678,537	8.67	8.34	12/12/75
St. Joe Light & Power	"	2,310,000	9.02	2,310,000	NA	NA	9/21/75
Missouri Utilities	"	2,805,000	10.8	1,447,410	8.3	NA	5/15/75
Citizens	" 1/	428,595	12.1	428,595	5.84	deficit	--
Mo. Power & Light	"	4,784,163	14.67	4,784,163	NA	NA	9/13/75
		34,318,356		23,186,883			
St. Joe Light & Power	Gas	197,000	13.77	196,887	NA	NA	9/21/75
Great River Gas	" 1/	816,030	29.9	630,000	10.21	4.80	2/18/75
Associated Natural Gas	" 1/	1,231,600	14.7	1,127,295	9.59	3.81	3/22/75
Ark-Mo	" 1/	252,350	12.9	252,350	6.98	3.89	3/22/75
Mo. Public Service	"	941,000	5.9	586,724	8.22	6.71	6/25/75
Mo. Power & Light	"	consolidated	2.5	251,715	8.48	6.85	12/18/75
Missouri Utilities	"	2,111,774	4.9+5.9+5.3	856,886	8.67	6.70-7.50	5/15/75-12/12/75
		5,549,754		3,901,857			
Montana Public Service Commission							
Cut Bank Gas	Gas	86,809	25.7	49,890	11.9	NA	10/15/75
Consumers Gas	"	55,525	70.6	49,288	NA	NA	6/25/75 & 9/18/75
Treasure State Pipeline Co.	"	40,221	72.4	34,901	NA	NA	6/25/75 & 9/18/75
Petrolane Beta Gas	"	46,000	100.0	46,000	NA	NA	6/25/75
		228,555		180,079			
Nevada Public Service Commission							
California-Pacific Utilities	Electric & Gas						
Docket 132	Electric	357,477	--	0	NA	--	2/3/75
204	"	125,636	--	125,636	9.3	--	7/3/75
260	"	953,922	--	953,922	NA	--	6/2/75
267	"	165,389	--	165,389	NA	--	6/6/75
268	"	121,871	--	121,871	NA	--	6/2/75
471	"	180,267	--	180,267	9.3	--	10/30/75

APPENDIX TABLE B-V (Cont.)

State Commission and Company	(1) Type	(2) Amount Requested $ Change	(3) % Increase	(4) Amount Granted	(5) Allowed Rate of Return	(6) Actual Rate of Return	(7) Date of Disposition
Nevada Public Service Commission (Cont.)							
California-Pacific Utilities							
Docket 488	Electric	$ 11,404	-- %	$ 11,404	9.3%	— %	12/1/75
489	"	144,069	--	144,069	9.3	--	12/1/75
541	"	20,200	--	0	NA	--	11/17/75
542	"	36,335	--	0	NA	--	11/17/75
543	"	119,011	--	0	NA	--	11/17/75
		2,235,601		1,702,558			
301	Gas	65,179	--	65,179	NA	--	6/11/75
504	"	12,259	--	12,259	NA	--	12/1/75
544	"	170,109	--	0	NA	--	11/17/75
		247,547		77,438			
Nevada Power Company	Electric						
Docket 131	"	10,418,417	--	0	NA	--	1/27/75
194	"	10,418,492	--	2,315,227	NA	--	5/9/75
317	"	6,007,725	--	4,769,377	10.023	--	7/25/75
325	"	15,126,737	--	7,765,273	10.023	--	7/25/75
436	"	6,021,379	--	3,139,359	10.023	--	9/29/75
		47,992,750		17,989,236			
Sierra Pacific Power Company	Electric & Gas						
Docket 87	Electric	2,945,000	--	1,197,043	NA	--	1/16/75
152	"	708,300	--	2,141,962	NA	--	3/17/75
174	"	1,327,937	--	--	--	--	—
183	"	5,652,000	--	5,013,000	9.47	--	6/30/75
217	"	1,973,876	--	1,697,534	NA	--	3/31/75
297	"	942,630	--	942,630	NA	--	5/23/75
349	"	3,549,660	--	3,549,660	9.47	--	7/30/75
377	"	267,361	--	267,361	9.47	--	7/30/75
446	"	2,388,216	--	2,388,216	9.47	--	9/26/75
479	"	296,483	--	470,896	9.47	--	10/6/75
492	"	2,563,137	--	2,557,328	9.47	--	10/30/75
		22,614,600		20,225,630			

APPENDIX TABLE B-V (Cont.)

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
		Amount Requested			Allowed	Actual	Date of
State Commission and Company	Type	$ Change	% Increase	Amount Granted	Rate of Return	Rate of Return	Disposition
Nevada Public Service Commission (Cont.)							
Sierra Pacific Power Company	Electric & Gas						
Docket 87	Gas	$ 218,560	--%	$ 218,560	NA %	--%	1/20/75
87	"	698,000	--	500,772	NA	--	1/16/75
151	"	1,163,923	--	--	--	--	--
196	"	38,248	--	38,248	NA	--	2/19/75
216	"	1,448,113	--	1,448,113	NA	--	3/31/75
350	"	1,835,294	--	1,835,294	NA	--	7/30/75
412	"	41,759	--	28,117	NA	--	9/8/75
445	"	378,001	--	378,001	NA	--	9/29/75
493	"	903,188	--	903,188	NA	--	10/30/75
		6,725,086		5,350,223			
Southwest Gas Corporation	Gas						
Docket 55	"	48,364	--	47,183	9.44	--	4/28/75
71	"	3,849,685	--	856,184	9.44	--	2/14/75
188	"	34,846	--	0	NA	--	7/25/75
214	"	1,296,480	--	1,296,480	9.44	--	3/31/75
215	"	3,924,839	--	3,924,839	9.44	--	3/31/75
218	"	566,353	--	344,485	9.44	--	7/17/75
241	"	7,263,025	--	1,607,584	9.34	--	9/22/75
277	"	1,758,556	--	1,758,556	9.44	--	6/16/75
333	"	2,287,446	--	2,287,446	9.44	--	7/21/75
459	"	833,958	--	833,958	9.34	--	9/29/75
460	"	1,584,221	--	1,584,221	9.34	--	9/29/75
473	"	3,220,881	--	3,220,881	9.34	--	10/30/75
487	"	101,559	--	101,559	9.34	--	10/16/75
499	"	192,815	--	192,815	9.34	--	10/30/75
519	"	4,201,282	--	0	NA	--	11/17/75
		31,164,310		14,131,711			
Mount Wheeler Power	Electric	218,575	--	127,572	3.22	--	10/21/75

APPENDIX TABLE B-V (Cont.)

State Commission and Company	(1) Type	(2) Amount Requested $ Change	(3) % Increase	(4) Amount Granted	(5) Allowed Rate of Return	(6) Actual Rate of Return	(7) Date of Disposition
New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission							
Public Service Company of N.H.	Electric	$8,200,000	-- %	$21,028,000	9.68%	--%	--
Concord Electric Company	"	886,000	--	674,000	10.0	--	--
N.H. Electric Cooperative	"	487,067	--	487,067	3.853	--	--
Exeter & Hampton Electric Co.	"	568,000	--	417,000	9.6	--	--
		10,141,067		22,606,067			
Concord Natural Gas	Gas	329,000	--	243,000	10.6	--	--
Gas Service	"	842,000	--	544,000	9.9	--	--
Claremont Gas Co.	(propane)	29,134	--	29,134	4.98	--	--
		1,200,134		816,134			
New Jersey Public Utility Commission							
Sussex Rural Electric Corp.	Electric	361,000	39.5	218,000	3.95	--	4/17/75
Rockland Electric	"	11,000,000	29.4	4,000,000	--	--	5/28/75
Jersey Central Power & Light	"	56,700,000	23.2	47,306,000	9.1	--	6/5/75
Atlantic City Electric Co.	"	30,800,000	17.0	10,745,000	8.47	--	6/27/75
Public Service Electric & Gas Co.	"	193,000,000	21.5	--	--	--	
		291,861,000		62,269,000			
Public Service Electric & Gas Co.	Gas	64,500,000	16.5	--	8.82	--	11/6/75
Elizabethtown Gas Co.	"	13,017,000	28.1	--	10.36	--	9/11/75
South Jersey Gas Co.	"	12,148,000	--	--	--	--	1/21/75
" " " "	"	16,600,000	42.0	--	9.14	--	12/22/75
		106,265,000					
New Mexico Public Service Commission							
El Paso Electric Co.	Electric	3,900,000	23.9	1,853,000	--	--	7/3/75
Public Service Co. of N.M.	"	--	--	--	--	--	8/1/75
Southern Union Gas Co.	Gas	9,316,708	12.8	0	--	--	12/19/75
		13,216,708		1,853,000			

APPENDIX TABLE B-V (Cont.)

State Commission and Company	(1) Type	(2) Amount Requested $ Change	(3) Amount Requested % Increase	(4) Amount Granted	(5) Allowed Rate of Return	(6) Actual Rate of Return	(7) Date of Disposition
New York State Public Service Commission							
Central Hudson Gas & Electric Corp.	Electric	$ 3,254,200	2.5%	$ 3,254,200	8.7%	7.2%	12/4/75
Consolidated Edison Co.	"	31,082,500	1.5	31,082,500	8.6	7.5	7/25/75
Fishers Island Electric Corp.	"	82,100	32.2	82,100	10.0	NA	6/25/75
Village of Freeport	"	1,166,100	15.4	920,300	6.08	NA	8/1/75
City of Jamestown	"	773,000	14.5	773,000	6.6	NA	3/20/75
Lawrence Park Heat, Light & Power	"	50,900	23.1	50,900	8.5	NA	3/12/75
Long Island Lighting Co.	"	65,941,000	21.5	65,941,000	9.1	8.1	1/22/75
New York State Electric & Gas	"	37,712,900	14.6	36,496,700	9.4	7.8	10/21/75
Niagra Mohawk Power Corp. (#26594)	"	86,594,600	17.7	76,440,400	9.2	7.3	3/11/75
" " " " (#26595)	"	3,206,600	20.1	3,206,600	9.2	7.3	3/11/75
Orange and Rockland Utilities, Inc.	"	26,623,200	18.1	16,566,900	9.6	7.9	9/9/75
Village of Richmondville	"	15,300	9.1	15,300	7.5	NA	12/1/75
Village of Rockville Center	"	794,100	22.1	287,700	7.0	NA	7/28/75
Sherrill-Kenwood Power & Light	"	60,700	19.5	60,700	8.8	NA	9/1/75
		257,358,000		233,583,000			
Bath Electric Gas & Water	Gas	47,200	6.0	47,200	6.0	NA	1/10/75
Brooklyn Union Gas Co.	"	64,202,200	28.6	40,782,500	10.0	8.0	10/29/75
Columbia Gas of New York	"	682,300	2.5	682,300	9.4	7.6	10/21/75
Corning Natural Gas	"	346,400	2.5	115,400	8.2	7.6	4/17/75
Long Island Lighting Co.	"	19,893,900	19.3	11,532,000	9.4	8.1	9/11/75
National Fuel Gas Distribution Corp.	"	22,438,100	16.6	15,256,000	9.8	NA	5/29/75
" " " " "	"	1,121,100	12.7	530,700	9.8	NA	7/10/75
New York State Electric & Gas	"	3,563,000	4.4	3,546,600	8.9	7.1	10/21/75
Niagara Mohawk Power	"	16,214,400	11.6	12,437,700	9.2	6.5	3/11/75
Orange and Rockland Utilities, Inc.	"	5,256,000	15.9	3,305,500	9.6	8.1	9/9/75
Pavilion Natural Gas Co.	"	264,400	6.5	243,900	9.8	NA	11/15/75
Pennsylvania and Southern Gas	"	97,300	33.4	67,300	9.4	NA	5/1/75
Reserve Gas Co.	"	67,400	46.1	58,500	11.4	NA	2/28/75
St. Lawrence Gas Co.	"	1,608,300	25.3	625,600	9.4	5.6	9/1/75
Southern Tier Gas Corp.	"	58,300	12.9	39,100	10.0	NA	5/1/75
Syracuse Suburban Gas	"	100,000	2.3	100,000	6.8	6.9	11/1/75
Woodhull Municipal Gas	"	2,200	4.6	2,200	6.1	NA	12/1/75
		135,959,000		89,374,000			

APPENDIX TABLE B-V (Cont.)

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
		Amount Requested					
State Commission and Company	Type	$ Change	% Increase	Amount Granted	Allowed Rate of Return	Actual Rate of Return	Date of Disposition
North Carolina Utilities Commission							
Carolina Power & Light Co.	Electric	$51,676,000	22.20%	$51,676,000	9.29%	6.27%	1/6/75
Domestic Electric Service, Inc.	"	106,000	23.46	102,000	9.90	--	4/29/75
Duke Power Co.	"	122,670,000	25.84	111,336,000	9.11	5.88	10/3/75
Nantahala Power & Light Co.	"	1,524,000	23.25	1,524,000	6.59	3.09	8/8/75
New River Power & Light Co.	"	248,000	14.55	248,000	11.35	--	7/7/75
Virginia Electric & Power Co.	"	9,074,000	22.91	8,821,000	9.42	5.82	10/22/75
		185,298,000		173,707,000			
Pa. & Southern Gas Co.	Gas	568,000	21.94	385,000	10.12	4.08	1/3/75
Public Service Co. of N.C.	"	5,128,000	11.14	4,184,000	9.33	6.76	2/13/75
United Cities Gas Co.	"	256,000	29.87	248,000	9.46	3.00	6/26/75
		5,952,000		4,817,000			
North Dakota Public Service Commission							
Northern States Power Co.	Electric	3,207,000	12.0	1,603,000	8.33	--	7/1/75
Montana-Dakota Utilities Co.	"	7,055,239	45.0	4,074,286	8.47	--	8/4/75
Otter Tail Power Co.	"	4,865,659	24.4	3,586,256	8.43	--	8/4/75
		15,127,898		9,263,542			
Montana-Dakota Utilities Co.	Gas	4,291,186	29.38	4,291,186	7.47	—	7/2/75
Public Utility Commission of Oregon							
Pacific Power & Light	Electric	16,915,000	11.5	16,915,000	8.92	NA	8/13/75
Portland General Electric	"	36,805,000	24.7	36,805,000	9.08	NA	9/26/75
		53,720,000		53,720,000			
Northwest Natural Gas	Gas	4,100,000	3.1	3,605,000	9.79	NA	8/1/75
South Carolina Public Service Commission							
SCE & G	Electric	41,208,885	23.35	33,270,000	9.10	6.02	6/16/75
CP & L	"	11,623,443	22.0	9,634,000	8.86	7.02	1/15/75
Lockhart Power Electric	"	226,000	6.17	226,000	9.79	7.43	1/10/75
		53,058,328		43,130,000			

APPENDIX TABLE B-V (Cont.)

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
		Amount Requested			Allowed	Actual	Date of
State Commission and Company	Type	$ Change	% Increase	Amount Granted	Rate of Return	Rate of Return	Disposition
Public Service Commission of Utah							
Utah Power & Light Co.	Electric	$22,459,100	18.02+3.17%	$21,332,100	8.63%	NA%	2/13/75-7/31/75
California Pacific Utilities	"	385,471	11.73	383,969	--	--	5/13/75
Strawberry Water Users	"	159,572	39.08+13.85	111,349	7.0	--	6/20/75-10/17/75
Empire Electric	"	5,922	10.44	5,922	--	NA	7/21/75
		23,010,000		21,834,000			
Mountain Fuel Supply	Gas	35,243,000	2.09-18.43[2]	31,145,000	9.47	--	2/6/75-12/1/75
Utah Gas Service	"	894,142	0.71-17.44[3]	543,728	15.0(on equity)	--	4/9/75-12/11/75
		36,137,142		31,688,728			
Virginia State Corporation Commission							
Appalachian Power Co.	Electric	36,197,000	8.37	19,046,756	8.4	9.17	5/1/75
Delmarva Power & Light Co.	"	769,000	13.70	740,344	8.9	--	3/19/75
Potomac Edison Co.	"	3,406,568	18.98	2,673,868	8.75	8.44	5/26/75
Potomac Electric Power Co.	"	3,035,000	32.15	2,040,768	8.95	7.86	6/16/75
Virginia Electric Power Co.	"	137,791,000	22.78	99,241,000	9.60	7.89	9/26/75
		181,198,568		123,742,736			
Portsmouth Gas Co.	Gas	427,502	6.55	343,573	9.52	5.6	1/17/75
Roanoke Gas Co.	"	1,163,516	9.28	968,780	8.47	7.98	11/10/75
Southwestern Virginia Gas	"	190,000	11.17	124,846	9.20	6.97	7/7/75
Suffolk Gas Co.	"	50,000	5.69	50,000	9.65	8.11	1/13/75
Va. Electric & Power Co. - Gas	"	4,953,000	16.72	3,074,807	9.44	8.44	10/14/75
		6,784,018		4,562,006			
Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission							
Washington Water Power Co.	Electric	2,785,000	5.6	2,217,000	8.40	8.48	7/23/75
Pacific Power & Light Co.	"	3,455,000	11.9	3,250,000	8.78	6.52	9/30/75
Puget Sound Power & Light Co.	"	23,759	--	23,759	--	9.16	10/19/75
		6,263,759		5,490,759			

APPENDIX TABLE B-V (Cont.)

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
		Amount Requested			Allowed	Actual	Date of
State Commission and Company	Type	$ Change	% Increase	Amount Granted	Rate of Return	Rate of Return	Disposition
Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission (Cont.)							
Cascade Natural Gas Corp.	Gas	$ 5,235,000	9.2%	$ 2,678,304	9.54%	8.90%	3/6/75
" " " (3 purchase gas increases)		26,871,891	5.3-26.4	26,871,891	--	--	4/1/75-10/28/75
Washington Natural Gas Co.	Gas	2,964,000	3.0	2,686,576	9.44	8.48	3/21/75
" " "(3 purchase gas increases)		36,612,264	4.7-23.5	36,334,840	--	--	4/1/75-12/17/75
Northwest Natural Gas Co.	Gas	550,000	5.8	476,000	9.67	8.52	3/21/75
" " " (3 purchase gas increases)		4,635,072	5.2-25.5	4,561,072	--	--	4/1/75-10/26/75
Washington Water Power Co.	Gas	1,338,000	6.0	1,338,000	8.40	7.38	8/1/75
" " " (2 purchase gas increases)		6,578,000	4.9-24.4	6,578,000	--	--	4/1/75-8/1/75
		84,784,227		81,534,683			
West Virginia Public Service Commission							
Appalachian Power Co.	Electric	9,482,000	10.5	1,321,522	8.73	--	1/31/75
Wheeling Electric Co.	"	1,489,250	9.33	1,489,250	8.5	--	4/30/75
City of New Martinsville	"	25,251	NA	25,251	--	--	6/3/75
Chesapeake Light & Water Co.	"	39,959	NA	39,959	--	--	1/30/75
		11,036,460		2,875,982			
Columbia Gas	Gas	2,037,111	14.25	2,037,111	loss	--	10/31/75
Lumberport-Shinnston	"	71,824	14.4	62,960	8.75	--	12/12/75
Cabot Corp.	"	530,804	19.0	433,801	8.75	--	6/13/75
Shenandoah Gas	"	793,001	20.0	793,001	8.6	--	6/24/75
Southern Public Service Co.	"	169,232	9.5, 10.9, 12.5	166,248	8.3-10.0	--	11/11/74-12/12/74
Union Oil and Gas	"	154,402	41.9	137,259	10.0	--	12/11/75
Wayne Gas Co.	"	74,670	51.438	74,670	NA	--	3/4/75
Spencer Gas Co.	"	63,692	16.0	55,929	10.0	--	5/22/75
Consumers Gas Utility Co.	"	316,686	8.07, 43.34, 18.0	191,330	10.0	--	1/12/75-9/30/75
Lora Oil and Gas Co.	"	9,357	52.4	9,357	7.35	--	3/13/75
Equitable Gas Co.	"	540,715	12.5	540,715	NA	--	8/8/75
Oceana Gas Co.	"	39,843	13.0	39,843	9.39	--	9/24/75
ABC Gas Co.	"	4,589	29.0	4,589	NA	--	7/29/75
Fifty Two Gas Co.	"	17,658	46.0	17,658	NA	--	8/26/75
Valley Gas Co.	"	7,231	4.9	7,231	10.46	--	12/29/75
Pineville Gas Co.	"	71,856	10.0	71,856	9.978	--	9/30/75-12/29/75
		4,902,671		4,643,558			

APPENDIX TABLE B-V (Cont.)

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
		Amount Requested					
State Commission and Company	Type	$ Change	% Increase	Amount Granted	Allowed Rate of Return	Actual Rate of Return	Date of Disposition
Wisconsin Public Service Commission							
Dahlberg Light & Power Co.	Electric	$ 341,390	(21.9)%	$ 299,000	7.56%	4.70%	1/17/75
Lake Superior District Power Co.	"	844,000	(4.7)	578,000	9.25	7.85	1/10/75
Northern States Power Co.	"	6,573,000	(6.4)	5,362,000	9.34	9.42	1/17/75
Superior Water, Light & Power Co.	"	--	--	--	--	--	--
Wisconsin Electric Power Co.	"	--	--	--	--	--	--
Wisconsin Electric Power Co.	"	--	--	--	--	--	--
Wisconsin Michigan Power Co.	"	--	--	--	--	--	--
Wisconsin Power & Light Co.	"	--	--	--	--	--	--
Wisconsin Power & Light Co.	"	--	--	--	--	--	--
Wisconsin Public Service Corp.	"	--	--	--	--	--	--
Wisconsin Public Service Corp.	"	--	--	--	--	--	--
Northwestern Wisconsin Electric Co.	"	--	--	--	--	--	--
Madison Gas & Electric Co.	"	--	--	--	--	--	--
Kaukauna	"	--	--	--	--	--	--
Manitowoc	"	--	--	--	--	--	--
Menasha	"	--	--	--	--	--	--
New London	"	--	--	--	--	--	--
River Falls	"	--	--	--	--	--	--
Wisconsin Rapids	"	--	--	--	--	--	--
		7,758,390		6,239,000			
Madison Gas & Electric Co.	Gas	2,154,000	7.32	2,154,000	9.55	9.14	6/16/75
Superior Water, Light & Power Co.	"	359,000	(2.20)	78,100	7.68	5.59	1/1/75
Wisconsin Fuel & Light Co.	"	--	--	--	--	--	--
Wisconsin Fuel & Light Co.	"	--	--	--	--	--	--
Wisconsin Gas Co.	"	--	--	--	--	--	--
Wisconsin Michigan Power Co.	"	--	--	--	--	--	--
Wisconsin Natural Gas Co.	"	--	--	--	--	--	--
Wisconsin Power & Light Co.	"	--	--	--	--	--	--
Wisconsin Power & Light Co.	"	--	--	--	--	--	--
Wisconsin Public Service Co.	"	--	--	--	--	--	--
Wisconsin Public Service Co.	"	--	--	--	--	--	--
		2,513,000		2,232,100			

APPENDIX TABLE B-V (Cont.)

State Commission and Company	(1) Type	(2) Amount Requested $ Change	(3) Amount Requested % Increase	(4) Amount Granted	(5) Allowed Rate of Return	(6) Actual Rate of Return	(7) Date of Disposition
Wyoming Public Service Commission							
Black Hills Power & Light Co.	Electric	$202,000	-- %	$179,000	8.59%	--%	--
Utah Power & Light	"	180,000	--	180,000	8.5	--	--
Yampa Valley Electric Assn., Inc.	"	16,000	--	16,000	3.5	--	--
Hot Springs County REA	"	272,000	--	272,000	3.91	--	--
Carbon Power & Light	"	115,000	--	82,000	7.0	--	--
		785,000		729,000			
Frannie Deaver	Gas	10,000	--	10,000	--	--	--
McCulloch Gas Transmission Co.	"	187,000	--	182,000	9.0	--	--
Mountain Fuel Supply Co.	"	235,000	--	235,000	6.4	--	--
Northern Gas Transmission	"	482,000	--	342,000	9.0	--	--
Northern Gas Division	"	587,000	--	422,000	9.0	--	--
Northern Utilities Transmission	"	708,000	--	607,000	9.0	--	--
Northern Utilities Division	"	1,014,000	--	820,000	9.0	--	--
		3,979,000		2,618,000			

1/ Exclusive of gross receipts taxes.

2/ Involves eight rate increase requests.

3/ Involves six rate increase requests.

* Excludes PGA amounts.

Source: Questionnaire responses, 1975.

SUMMARY OF APPENDIX TABLE B-V
(dollar figures in thousands)

State	Amount Requested 1975	Amount Granted 1975	Percent Granted of Requested
Arizona			
Electric	67,818	67,818	100.0
Gas	1,649	1,649	100.0
Total	69,467	69,467	100.0
California			
Electric	586,935	265,607	45.3
Gas	107,640	86,050	79.9
Total	694,575	351,657	50.6
Colorado			
Electric	50,880	36,972	72.7
Gas	5,529	3,893	70.4
Total	56,409	40,865	72.4
Florida			
Electric	274,439	177,315	64.6
Gas	7,118	4,520	63.5
Total	281,557	181,834	64.6
Georgia			
Electric	311,675	119,918	38.5
Gas	--	--	--
Total	311,675	119,918	38.5
Hawaii			
Electric	2,663	1,949	73.2
Gas	3,727	2,450	65.7
Total	6,390	4,399	68.8
Idaho			
Electric	9,413	8,487	90.2
Gas	643	643	100.0
Total	10,056	9,130	90.8
Illinois			
Electric	288,520	251,435	87.1
Gas	25,243	24,317	96.3
Total	313,763	275,752	87.9
Indiana 1/			
Iowa			
Electric	32,623	32,093	98.4
Gas	4,335	3,295	76.0
Total	36,958	35,388	95.8
Kansas			
Electric	33,507	21,558	64.3
Gas	14,214	7,535	53.0
Total	47,721	29,093	61.0
Louisiana			
Electric	1,130	716	63.4
Gas	468	275	58.8
Total	1,598	991	62.0
Maine			
Electric	12,598	10,696	84.9
Gas	--	--	--
Total	12,598	10,696	84.9
Michigan			
Electric	179,329	162,424	90.6
Gas	125,019	60,837	48.7
Total	304,348	223,261	73.4
Mississippi	none	none	--
Missouri			
Electric	34,318	23,187	67.6
Gas	5,550	3,902	70.3
Total	39,868	27,089	67.9
Montana			
Electric	--	--	--
Gas	229	180	78.6
Total	229	180	78.6
Nevada			
Electric	73,063	40,045	54.8
Gas	38,137	19,559	51.3
Total	111,200	59,604	53.6
New Hampshire			
Electric	10,141	22,606 2/	122.9
Gas	1,200	816	68.0
Total	11,341	23,422	106.5
New Jersey			
Electric	291,861	62,269	21.3
Gas	106,265	--	--
Total	398,126	62,269	15.6

SUMMARY OF APPENDIX TABLE B-V (cont.)

State	Amount Requested 1975	Amount Granted 1975	Percent Granted of Requested	State	Amount Requested 1975	Amount Granted 1975	Percent Granted of Requested
New Mexico				Utah			
Electric	3,900	1,853	47.5	Electric	23,010	21,834	94.9
Gas	9,317	--	--	Gas	36,137	31,689	87.7
Total	13,217	1,853	14.0	Total	59,147	53,523	90.5
New York				Virginia			
Electric	257,358	233,583	90.8	Electric	181,199	123,743	68.3
Gas	135,959	89,374	65.7	Gas	6,784	4,562	67.2
Total	393,317	322,957	82.1	Total	187,983	128,305	68.3
North Carolina				Washington			
Electric	185,298	173,707	93.7	Electric	6,264	5,491	87.7
Gas	5,952	4,817	80.9	Gas	84,784	81,535	96.2
Total	191,250	178,524	93.3	Total	91,048	87,026	95.6
North Dakota				West Virginia			
Electric	15,128	9,264	61.2	Electric	11,036	2,826	26.1
Gas	4,291	4,291	100.0	Gas	4,903	4,644	94.7
Total	19,419	13,555	69.8	Total	15,939	7,520	47.2
Oregon				Wisconsin			
Electric	53,720	53,720	100.0	Electric	7,758	6,239	80.4
Gas	4,100	3,605	87.9	Gas	2,513	2,232	88.8
Total	57,820	57,325	99.1	Total	10,271	8,471	82.5
South Carolina				Wyoming			
Electric	53,058	43,130	81.3	Electric	785	729	92.9
Gas	--	--	--	Gas	3,979	2,618	65.8
Total	53,058	43,130	81.3	Total	4,764	3,347	70.3

1/ No response to this question.

2/ Includes recoupment.

SOURCE: Appendix Table B-V.

APPENDIX TABLE B-VI. ELECTRIC AND GAS UTILITY RATE INCREASES PENDING BEFORE STATE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSIONS
DECEMBER 1975

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
		Amount Requested		Allowed	Requested	
State Commission and Company	Type	$ Change	% Increase	Rate of Return	Rate of Return	Date Filed
Arizona Corporation Commission						
Tucson Gas & Electric Co.	Electric	$21,212,000	-- %	NA %	8.67%	11/7/75
Southwest Gas Corp.	Gas	710,777	--	NA	7.72	9/29/75
California Public Utilities Commission						
Pacific Gas & Electric Co.	Electric	341,798,000	23.3	8.65	10.10	2/25/75
Southern California Edison Co.	"	259,323,000	15.96	8.7	9.6	6/7/74
San Diego Gas & Electric Co.	"	64,603,000	19.39	8.75	10.47	10/75
California-Pacific Utilities Co.	"	651,000	25.6	8.35	10.4	4/9/75
		666,375,000				
Pacific Gas & Electric Co.	Gas	124,013	10.5	8.0	10.10	2/25/75
Southwest Gas Co.	"	2,387,000	20.9+23.3	9.05	11.00	6/20/75-7/3/75
Southern Calif. Gas Co.	"	151,450,000	19.4	8.5	10.25	11/26/74
		153,961,000				
Colorado Public Utilities Commission						
Delta-Montrose Elec. Assn.	Electric	291,704	7.56	5.61	2.47	10/3/75
Empire Electric Assn.	"	236,384	12.30	5.65	5.65	9/26/75
Town of Estes Park	"	75,854	14.98	NA	NA	10/30/75
Gunnison County Electric Assn.	"	88,149	10.13	5.26	6.13	12/5/75
Highline Electric Assn.	"	667,221	25.60	4.96	4.96	10/7/75
City of Loveland	"	29,579	11.20	NA	NA	12/5/75
Mountain View Electric Assn.	"	215,893	7.24	6.11	5.71	12/15/75
Rural Electric Co.	"	22,817	10.50	NA	4.30	12/15/75
San Louis Valley Rural Elec. Co.	"	363,415	15.33	6.00	6.30	10/2/75
San Miguel Power Assn.	"	322,141	8.30 + 4.75	5.09	2.76	12/5/75-12/24/75
Y-W Electric Assn., Inc.	"	941,135	2.80	5.60	5.60	10/1/75
		3,254,000				
Peoples Natural Gas	Gas	13,019	0.98	8.94	6.82	12/29/75
Rocky Mountain Natural Gas	"	659,637	11.52	10.30	10.40	12/23/75
Western Slope Gas Co.	"	3,726,877	11.70	10.77	10.77	10/1/75
		4,400,000				
Florida Public Service Commission						
None		--				

APPENDIX TABLE B-VI (Cont.)

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
		Amount Requested		Allowed Rate of Return	Requested Rate of Return	
State Commission and Company	Type	$ Change	% Increase			Date Filed
Georgia Public Service Commission						
None		--				
Hawaii Public Utilities Commission						
Hawaii Electric Light Co.	Electric	$3,508,000	20.3%	-- %	-- %	1/30/75
Maui Electric Co.	"	2,756,000	17.8	--	--	5/16/75
		6,264,000				
Idaho Public Utilities Commission						
Utah Power & Light	Electric	10,422,000	32.0	8.4	10.71	9/19/75
Idaho Power Co.	"	24,325,962	25.7	8.3 - 8.5	8.43	5/30/75
		34,747,962				
Illinois Commerce Commission						
Union Electric	Electric	16,400,000	25.4	--	--	2/11/75
Central Illinois Public Service Co.	"	31,000,000	20.0	--	--	4/28/75
So. Beloit Water, Gas & Electric	"	513,000	17.26	--	--	6/3/75
Sherrard Power System	"	290,195	8.9	--	--	6/27/75
Central Illinois Light Co.	"	38,169,000	30.3	--	--	8/22/75
		86,872,000				
Central Illinois Public Service Co.	Gas	3,000,000	7.5	--	--	4/28/75
So. Beloit Water, Gas & Electric	"	91,000	5.37	--	--	6/3/75
Central Illinois Light Co.	"	9,308,900	14.3	--	--	8/22/75
Kaskaskia	"	85,000	20.0	--	--	5/6/75
Peoples Gas, Light & Coke Co.	"	68,300,000	11.1	--	--	12/19/75
		80,785,000				
Iowa State Commerce Commission						
Iowa Public Service Co.	Electric	5,863,706	8.45	--	8.74	12/13/74
Union Electric Co.	"	1,238,000	10.4	--	8.81	1/20/74
Iowa Power & Light Co.	"	11,952,466	13.3	--	9.5	12/30/74
Iowa Electric Light & Power Co.	"	11,973,698	16.72	--	8.78	3/26/75
Albia Light & Railway Co.	"	49,064	7.28	--	10.0	6/17/75
Iowa Public Service Co.	"	6,996,446	9.37	--	9.85	9/12/75
Iowa-Illinois Gas & Electric Co.	"	6,382,172	15.9	--	9.68	1/25/74
		44,455,552				

APPENDIX TABLE B-VI (Cont.)

State Commission and Company	(1) Type	(2) Amount Requested $ Change	(3) Amount Requested % Increase	(4) Allowed Rate of Return	(5) Requested Rate of Return	(6) Date Filed
Iowa State Commerce Commission (Cont.)						
Iowa Public Service Co.	Gas	$1,704,498	4.86%	-- %	8.74%	12/13/74
Iowa Power & Light Co.	"	1,279,071	1.9	--	9.5	12/30/74
Iowa Electric Light & Power Co.	"	1,254,203	3.3	--	8.78	3/26/75
Peoples Natural Gas Div.	"	2,919,454	5.97	--	10.87	6/9/75
Iowa-Illinois Gas & Electric Co.	"	4,591,330	9.75	--	9.68	6/25/74
		11,748,556				
Kansas State Corporation Commission						
Western Power Division of C.T. & U.	Electric	5,380,000	3.46 + 14.5	--	9.0	1/24/75-10/20/75
Flint Hill Rural Elec. Coop.	"	281,264	15.53	--	5.87	9/16/75
Northwest Kansas Elec. Coop.	"	86,328	11.0	--	5.46	10/6/75
Kansas City Power & Light	"	10,205,570	20.0	--	8.12	5/27/75
Lane Scott Elec. Coop.	"	45,459	4.56	--	5.44	10/6/75
Sunflower Elec. Coop.	"	1,185,823	14.74	--	5.5	11/17/75
Pioneer Elec. Coop. Inc.	"	333,080	12.88	--	5.5	11/25/75
Empire District Elec. Coop.	"	249,719	7.4	--	10.99	12/16/75
		17,767,486				
Kansas Power & Light Co.	Gas	6,780,677	16.0	--	8.97	5/21/75
Peoples Div. of Northern NG	"	2,149,000	13.0	--	9.65	8/11/75
Kansas-Nebraska Natural Gas	"	3,900,000	38.9	--	10.35	11/14/75
		12,829,677				
Louisiana Public Service Commission						
Louisiana-Nevada Transit	Gas	141,000	--	--	--	11/11/75
Pierre Part Natural Gas Co., Inc.	"	22,000	--	--	--	8/27/75
		163,000				
Maine Public Utilities Commission						
Fox Islands Electric Coop. Inc.	Electric	NA	7.0	--	--	10/21/75
Central Maine Power Co.	"	21,700,000	14.73	8.8	10.01	12/1/75
Maine Utility Gas Co.	Gas	56,777	25	NA	1.7	11/10/75

APPENDIX TABLE B-VI (Cont.)

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
State Commission and Company	Type	Amount Requested $ Change	Amount Requested % Increase	Allowed Rate of Return	Requested Rate of Return	Date Filed
Michigan Public Service Commission						
Wisconsin-Michigan Power Co.	Electric	$ 3,135,000	36.74%	-- %	9.264%	3/20/75
Detroit Edison Co.	"	177,865,000	18.49	--	9.11	4/10/75
Consumers Power Co.	"	118,000,000	16.68	--	9.21	5/28/75
Alpena Power Co.	"	1,216,202	16.56	--	9.75	9/16/75
		300,216,202				
Mississippi Public Service Commission						
Mississippi Power & Light	Electric	29,905,000	15.0	NA	8.6	11/10/75
Mississippi Power Co.	"	13,045,000	13.78	NA	8.6	11/7/75
		42,950,000				
Mississippi Valley Gas	Gas	4,000,000	8.5	NA	10.31	11/25/75
Missouri Public Service Commission						
Union Electric	Electric	77,300,000	22	--	--	--
Kansas City Power & Light	"	30,599,000	20	--	--	--
Missouri Public Service Co.	"	9,503,000	14	--	--	--
Arkansas-Missouri Power Co.	"	1,335,000	8	--	--	--
St. Joe Light & Power	"	5,100,000	21	--	--	--
Citizens Electric Co.	"	727,000	16	--	--	--
Missouri Edison	"	1,800,000	10	--	--	--
Empire Electric Co.	"	3,300,000	9	--	--	--
Sho-Me Power Corp.	"	1,701,000	7	--	--	--
		131,365,000				
Rich Hill-Hume	Gas	53,167	15	--	--	--
Arkansas-Missouri Power Co.	"	1,855,951	16	--	--	--
Missouri Public Service Co.	"	1,475,000	10	--	--	--
St. Joe Light & Power Co.	"	135,000	10	--	--	--
Missouri Edison	"	527,000	27	--	--	--
Gas Service Co.	"	10,605,172	10	--	--	--
Peoples Natural Gas	"	92,481	13	--	--	--
		14,743,771				

APPENDIX TABLE B-VI (Cont.)

State Commission and Company	(1) Type	(2) Amount Requested $ Change	(3) Amount Requested % Increase	(4) Allowed Rate of Return	(5) Requested Rate of Return	(6) Date Filed
Public Service Commission of Montana						
Pacific Power & Light Co.	Electric	$1,298,000	27.39%	2.86%	7.46%	2/7/75
Montana-Dakota Utilities Co.	"	3,700,000	13.0	6.5	9.50	4/15/75
Montana Power Co.	"	15,800,000	20.4	6.48	9.40	7/18/75
		20,798,000				
Montana-Dakota Utilities Co.	Gas	3,100,000	20.1	6.5	9.50	4/18/75
Montana Power Co.	"	28,800,000	43.6	6.6	8.51	7/18/75
		31,900,000				
Nevada Public Service Commission						
California-Pacific Utilities						
Docket 550	Electric					11/10/75
593	"	392,289				12/9/75
Nevada Power Co.						
Docket 511	"	2,855,333		10.023	--	10/9/75
549	"	14,472,801		10.023	--	11/26/75
Sierra Pacific Power Co.						
Docket 574	"	9,267,000		9.47	--	12/1/75
Mount Wheeler Power	"	53,538		3.22	--	12/1/75
		27,040,981				
Sierra Pacific Power Co.						
Docket 575	Gas	718,000				
New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission						
Manchester Gas Co.	Gas	381,374	--	--	--	--
Gas Service	"	711,823	--	--	--	--
		1,093,197				
New Jersey Public Utility Commission						
Rockland Electric	Electric	7,000,000	23.0	--	10.0	12/2/74
Sussex Rural Electric Corp.	"	--	--	--	--	6/11/75
Atlantic City Electric	"	28,030,000	13.0	8.81	--	8/15/75
Jersey Central Power & Light	"	139,000,000	44.0	9.1	10.23	9/2/75
		174,030,000				
New Jersey Natural Gas	Gas	9,027,000	19.3	--	10.45	9/3/75

APPENDIX TABLE B-VI (Cont.)

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
		Amount Requested		Allowed Rate of	Requested Rate of	
State Commission and Company	Type	$ Change	% Increase	Return	Return	Date Filed
New Mexico Public Service Commission						
None		--				
New York State Public Service Commission						
Consolidated Edison Co.	Electric	$497,071,800	21.8%	13.5%	-- %	4/2/75
Long Island Lighting Co.	"	89,954,000	15.6	10.1	--	6/25/75
Niagara Mohawk Power Corp.	"	164,308,400	22.5	10.0	--	12/19/75
Rochester Gas & Electric	"	25,908,100	18.7	10.1	--	5/12/75
Village of Rockville Center	"	794,100	22.1	5.2	--	12/23/74
		778,037,000				
Consolidated Edison Co.	Gas	51,223,000	28.9	10.0	--	6/25/75
National Fuel Gas Distrib. Corp.	"	29,322,000	11.3	10.4	--	11/24/75
Niagara Mohawk Power Corp.	"	19,341,400	10.2	10.0	--	12/19/75
Rochester Gas & Electric Corp.	"	6,696,000	8.5	10.1	--	5/12/75
		106,528,000				
North Carolina Utilities Commission						
Carolina Power & Light Co.	Electric	81,779,502	24.71	9.29	9.42	7/16/75
North Dakota Public Service Commission						
Great Plains National Gas Co.	Gas	80,600	10.0	4.35	10.0	8/75
Public Utility Commission of Oregon						
California Pacific Utilities	Electric	717,000	19.78	--	10.5	10/28/75
Portland General Electric	"	35,500,000	20.0	--	9.5	11/26/75
		36,217,000				
California Pacific Utilities	Gas	1,766,000	10.2	--	10.6	8/18/75
South Carolina Public Service Commission						
CP & L	Electric	22,487,000	22.8	5.98	9.3	8/11/75
Clinton Mills	"	49,569	47.8	--	11.86	7/7/75
Duke Power Co.	"	54,289,000	25.9	6.62	9.76	5/30/74
		76,825,569				

APPENDIX TABLE B-VI (Cont.)

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
		Amount Requested		Allowed Rate of	Requested Rate of	
State Commission and Company	Type	$ Change	% Increase	Return	Return	Date Filed
Virginia State Corporation Commission						
Commonwealth Natural Gas Corp.	Gas	$1,977,723	5.03%	9.43%	13.08%	8/20/75
Shenandoah Gas Corp.	"	475,301	18.76	8.45	11.03	12/75
Southwestern Virginia Gas Co.	"	80,466	4.66	9.2	--	12/16/75
United Cities	"	84,341	12.00	--	--	9/5/75
		2,617,831				
Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission						
Puget Sound Power & Light Co.	Electric	167,042	0.1	8.78	--	12/2/75
St. Regis Paper Co.	"	10,201	34.7	none	loss	12/2/75
		177,243				
Washington Natural Gas Co.	Gas	1,081,826	1.1	9.44	--	7/1/75
Northwest Natural Gas Co.	"	598,000	2.6	8.52	10.24	12/30/75
Washington Water Power Co.	"	2,080,000	9.3	8.4	--	12/19/75
		3,759,826				
West Virginia Public Service Commission						
Potomac Edison Co. (#7784)	Electric	3,307,945	21.0	--	9.14	12/28/73
Black Diamond Power Co.	"	24,100	8-10	--	8.56	6/14/74
Union Power Co.	"	33,906	8.0	--	8.85	8/16/74
Shenandoah Valley Electric Coop.	"	27,573	13.0	--	4.48	10/29/74
United Light & Power	"	15,494	4.0	--	9.3	10/16/74
Harrison Rural Electric Assn.	"	33,240	5.7	--	--	1/12/75
Monongahela Power Co.	"	31,311,141	23.208	--	9.6	1/20/75
Appalachian Power Co.	"	30,612,098	15.0	--	9.65	3/31/75
Potomac Edison Co. (#8280)	"	4,491,907	15.47	--	9.25	6/30/75
Wheeling Electric Co.	"	6,923,642	NA	--	--	8/1/75
Kimball Light & Water Co.	"	6,973	3.8	--	9.09	9/16/75
Virginia Electric & Power Co.	"	1,989,083	28.6	--	10.2	11/13/75
Craig-Botetourt Electric Corp.	"	6,118	7.0	--	4.22	11/7/75
		78,783,220				

APPENDIX TABLE B-VI (Cont.)

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
		Amount Requested		Allowed Rate of	Requested Rate of	
State Commission and Company	Type	$ Change	% Increase	Return	Return	Date Filed
West Virginia Public Service Commission (Cont.)						
Hope Natural Gas Co.	Gas	$ 29,013,000	20+20+15 %	-- %	8.5-9.5%	11/16/70-8/21/73
Columbia Gas	"	12,900,000	14	--	10.45	10/1/74
Wayne Gas Co.	"	31,414	11.5	--	9.53	7/1/75
Cabot Corp.	"	1,344,600	30	--	10.0	7/7/75
Ashford Gas Co.	"	15,468	68	--	3.17	9/30/75
Carnegie Natural Gas Co.	"	46,318	24.4	--	7.5	10/17/75
Carl D. Perkins	"	1,996	NA	--	NA	9/9/75
ABC Gas Co.	"	2,114	NA	--	--	12/8/75
		43,354,678				
Wisconsin Public Service Commission						
Cedarburg	Electric	--	--	--	--	4/24/74
Dahlberg Light & Power Co.	"	42,390	--	--	--	7/31/74
Lake Superior Distric Power Co.	"	--	15.0	--	--	12/19/75
Madison Gas & Electric Co.	"	7,028,000	--	--	--	3/5/75
Menasha	"	--	--	--	6.0	10/29/75
Northwestern Wisconsin Electric Co.	"	--	--	--	--	10/22/74
Plymouth	"	--	--	--	6.0	5/10/74
Two Rivers	"	--	--	--	--	7/10/73
Waterloo	"	--	--	--	--	3/14/74
Wisconsin Electric Power Co.	"	18,700,000	--	--	--	5/9/75
Wisconsin-Michigan Power Co.	"	2,640,000	--	--	--	8/14/75
Wisconsin Public Service Corp.	"	7,259,000	--	--	--	7/30/75
		35,669,390				
Wyoming Public Service Commission						
Utah Power & Light Co.	Electric	222,000	14.7	8.5	10.7	--

Source: Responses to questionnaire, 1975.

SUMMARY OF APPENDIX TABLE B-VI
(in thousands of dollars)

State	Amount Requested 1975
Arizona	
Electric	21,212
Gas	711
Total	21,923
California	
Electric	661,375
Gas	153,961
Total	820,336
Colorado	
Electric	3,254
Gas	4,400
Total	7,654
Florida	none
Georgia	none
Hawaii	
Electric	6,264
Gas	--
Total	6,264
Idaho	
Electric	34,748
Gas	--
Total	34,748
Illinois	
Electric	86,372
Gas	80,785
Total	167,157
Indiana 1/	
Iowa	
Electric	44,456
Gas	11,749
Total	56,205
Kansas	
Electric	17,767
Gas	12,830
Total	30,597
Louisiana	
Electric	—
Gas	163
Total	163
Maine	
Electric	21,700
Gas	57
Total	21,757
Michigan	
Electric	300,216
Gas	--
Total	300,216
Mississippi	
Electric	42,950
Gas	4,000
Total	46,950
Missouri	
Electric	131,365
Gas	14,744
Total	146,109
Montana	
Electric	20,798
Gas	31,900
Total	52,698
Nevada	
Electric	27,041
Gas	718
Total	27,759
New Hampshire	
Electric	--
Gas	1,093
Total	1,093
New Jersey	
Electric	174,030
Gas	9,027
Total	183,057
New Mexico	none
New York	
Electric	778,037
Gas	106,582
Total	884,619
North Carolina	
Electric	81,780
Gas	--
Total	81,780
North Dakota	
Electric	--
Gas	81
Total	81
Oregon	
Electric	36,217
Gas	1,766
Total	37,983
South Carolina	
Electric	76,826
Gas	--
Total	76,826
Utah	none
Virginia	
Electric	--
Gas	2,618
Total	2,618
Washington	
Electric	177
Gas	3,760
Total	3,937
West Virginia	
Electric	78,783
Gas	43,355
Total	122,138
Wisconsin	
Electric	35,669
Gas	3,680
Total	39,349
Wyoming	
Electric	222
Gas	--
Total	222

1/ No response to this question.

SOURCE: Appendix Table B-VI.

APPENDIX TABLE B - VII

ELECTRIC AND GAS UTILITY RATE INCREASES THROUGH THE OPERATION OF FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSES BY STATE, 1975

Column	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
State Commission and Company	Type	Total Revenue (1975)	1975 Revenues From FAC	1974 Revenues From FAC	December 1975 FAC in ¢/kwh or ¢/therm	December 1974 FAC in ¢/kwh or ¢/therm
Alaska Public Utilities Commission						
Nushagak Electric Corp.	Electric	NA	53,000	43,214	1.57	1.456
Alaska Power & Telephone	"	NA	85,000	46,485	2.21	1.76
Haines Light & Power Co.	"	NA	145,000	110,527	1.44	0.90
Yakutat Power, Inc.	"	NA	49,000	35,069	1.765	1.578
Northway Power & Light, Inc.	"	NA	15,000	8,399	2.08	1.63
Kotzebue Electric Assn.	"	NA	55,000	52,570	0.6451	1.518
Kodiak Electric Assn.	"	NA	373,000	309,813	1.41	1.0
Fort Yukon Utilities	"	NA	21,000	15,337	1.797	1.082
Matanuska Electric Assn.	"	NA	15,000	10,020	1.79	1.74
Alaska Electric Light & Power	"	NA	305,000	62,800	0.11	.0
Aniak Power Co.	"	NA	10,000	4,778	2.89	2.37
Northern Commercial Co.	"	NA	25,000	13,995	1.87	1.87
Golden Valley Electric Assn.	"	NA	1,655,000	63,124	0.9231	0.1086
Northern Power & Engineering Corp.	"	NA	40,000	6,854	1.84	1.57
Copper Valley Electric Assn.	"	NA	108,000	0	1.54	0
Naknek Electric Assn.	"	NA	69,000 1/	11,478	1.7	1.4
			3,027,000	794,463		
Arizona Corporation Commission 2/						
California Public Utilities Commission						
Cal-Pac (three divisions)	Electric	5,014,000	1,070,000	616,000	0.304-1.0043	0-0.3771
Southern Calif. Water Co.	"	1,944,600	145,000	400,000	1.294	0.890
Anza Electric Corp.	"	NA	10,000	72,000	0.7425	0.607
Bay Point Light & Power Co.	"	108,800	17,510	10,000	0.8746	0.310
Sierra Pacific Power Co.	"	11,637,000	2,163,000	993,000	1.224	0.5437

APPENDIX TABLE B - VII

ELECTRIC AND GAS UTILITY RATE INCREASES THROUGH THE OPERATION OF FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSES BY STATE, 1975

Column State Commission and Company	(1) Type	(2) Total Revenue (1975)	(3) 1975 Revenues From FAC	(4) 1974 Revenues From FAC	(5) December 1975 FAC in ¢/kwh or ¢/therm	(6) December 1974 FAC in ¢/kwh or ¢/therm
Calif. Pub. Utilities Commission						
San Diego Gas & Electric	Electric	284,670,000	57,380,500	70,884,000	0.762	40.0
Southern Calif.Edison Co.	"	1,668,000,000	0	391,000,000	0.949	0.969
Pacific Gas & Electric	"	1,431,000,000	0	122,000,000	0.595	0.473
		3,402,374,400	60,787,000	585,975,000		
Pacific Gas & Electric	Gas	508,232,000	462,648,000	157,972,000	-	1.839
San Diego Gas & Electric	"	14,297,200	11,273,100	14,340,300	1.372	1.725
Southwest Gas Corp.	"	3,377,000	3,316,856	1,225,785	4.534-6.325	1.981-4.940
Southern Calif. Gas	"	94,490,000	72,238,000	113,069,001	0.919	1.394
Cal.-Pacific	"	674,000	614,227	587,616	4.029-4.547	5.451
		621,060,653	550,090,000	287,192,000		
Colorado Public Utilities Commission						
Central Telephone & Utilities	Electric	24,402,944[6/]	1,874,550[6/]	2,001,342[6/]	.3705	.0968
City of Colorado Springs	"	31,929,654	2,056,776	5,013	.2320	credit
Colorado Ute Electric Assn.	"	18,639,671	1,816,333	1,179,880	.1004	.0774
Delta Montrose Electric Assn.	"	2,621,327	1,192	8,687	.06769	.0214
City of Fountain	"	1,746,500	32,961	0	.289	0
Grand Valley Rural Power Lines	"	1,557,715	40,700	15,994	.1074	.0828
Holy Cross Electric Assn.	"	4,421,163	16,841	9,114	.06769	.0214
Home Light & Power Co.	"	10,329,277	1,386,352	497,675	.03639	.2131
Poudre Valley Rural Electric	"	4,603,056	-	-	0	0

APPENDIX TABLE B - VII

ELECTRIC AND GAS UTILITY RATE INCREASES THROUGH THE OPERATION OF FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSES BY STATE, 1975

Column	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
State Commission and Company	Type	Total Revenue (1975)	1975 Revenues From FAC	1974 Revenues From FAC	December 1975 FAC in.¢/kwh or ¢/therm	December 1974 FAC in ¢/kwh or ¢/therm
Public Service of Colorado 7/	Electric	262,258,986	13,853,150	15,286,473	.0902	.0995
San Isabel Electric Assn.	"	2,163,084	0	0	-	-
San Miquel Power Assn.	"	1,864,270	-	-	-	-
Springer Electric Coop.	"	42,862	-	-	-	-
Southeast Colorado Power	"	3,506,580	86,724	0	.1171	0
Wheatland Electric Coop.	"	14,015	640	0	.3442	0
White River Electric Assn.	"	1,238,023	NA	24,513	.06769	.0216
		363,850,000	21,236,000	19,063,000		
Florida Public Service Commission						
Florida Power & Light Co.	Electric	1,182,644,366	126,138,281	205,939,934	0.110	
Florida Power Corp.	"	504,495,991	138,201,189	131,770,516	0.110	
Tampa Electric Co.	"	254,856,688	31,427,259	36,822,428	0.236	
Gulf Power Co.	"	143,935,859	12,275,733	13,558,327	0.166	
		2,085,933,000	308,042,000	388,088,000		
City Gas Co.	Gas	14,822,336	656,966	1,771,179	0.47	
Florida Gas Co.	"	27,410,542	5,035,656	4,441,813	2.13	
Peoples Gas System	"	19,961,644	2,317,699	1,861,514	0.350	
		62,195,000	8,011,000	8,078,000		
Georgia Public Service Commission						
Georgia Power Co.	Electric	1,069,799,910	258,579,885	148,007,073	0.6629	0.3834
Savannah Electric & Power Co.	"	62,278,138	25,261,578	21,637,304	1.3158	1.1891
		1,132,078,048	283,841,463	169,644,377		

APPENDIX TABLE B - VII

ELECTRIC AND GAS UTILITY RATE INCREASES THROUGH THE OPERATION OF FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSES BY STATE, 1975

Column	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
State Commission and Company	Type	Total Revenue (1975)	1975 Revenues From FAC	1974 Revenues From FAC	December 1975 FAC in ¢/kwh or ¢/therm	December 1974 FAC in ¢/kwh or ¢/therm
Hawaii Public Utilities Commission						
Hawaiian Electric Co.	Electric	170,203,732	41,641,874	7,111,342	0.98206	0.03818
Hawaii Electric Lt. Co.	"	18,317,604	3,490,013	3,610,869	1.03971	1.188
Maui Electric Co.	"	15,895,006	5,958,017	4,819,867	2.17217	1.958
Kauai Electric Co.	"	10,107,888	442,930	2,637,192	0.219	1.8
Molokai Electric Co.	"	1,385,568	89,700	159,351	0.69	0.414
Gasco, Inc.	Gas	22,678,881	1,258,477	NA	2.6	2.42
		408,233,056	52,881,011	18,338,621		
Idaho Public Utilities Commission						
Intermountain Gas	Gas	64,954,000	5,678,048	8,359,108	4.099	4.6925
Washington Water Power	"	16,534,387	1,598,355	1,733,817	3.196	4.785
		81,488,387	7,276,403	10,092,925		
Illinois Commerce Commission						
Central Illinois Light Co.	Gas		29,854,000			
Central Illinois Public Service Co.	"		5,298,000			
Consumers Gas Co.	"		145,000			
Eastern Illinois Gas & Securities	"		59,000			
Gas Utilities Co.	"		216,000			
Illinois Gas Co.	"		41,000			
Illinois Power Co.	"		15,543,000			
Interstate Power Co.	"		132,000			
Iowa-Illinois Gas & Electric	"		2,639,000			
Kaskaskia Gas Co.	"		47,000			
Monarch Gas Co.	"		57,000			
Mt. Carmel Public Utility Co.	"		92,000			

APPENDIX TABLE B - VII

ELECTRIC AND GAS UTILITY RATE INCREASES THROUGH THE OPERATION OF FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSES BY STATE, 1975

Column	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
State Commission and Company	Type	Total Revenue (1975)	1975 Revenues From FAC	1974 Revenues From FAC	December 1975 FAC in ¢/kwh or ¢/therm	December 1974 FAC in ¢/kwh or ¢/therm
North Shore Gas Co.	Gas		8,141,000			
Northern Illinois Gas Co.	"		155,385,000			
Peoples Gas Light & Coke Co.	"		71,229,000			
So. Beloit Water, Gas & Electric	"		15,000			
Town Gas Company	"		176,000			
Union Electric Co.	"		1,380,000			
United Cities Gas Co.	"		317,000			
			290,766,000			
Iowa State Commerce Commission						
Iowa Electric Light & Power Co.	Electric	107,706,509	14,040,918	5,399,511	.41	.27
Iowa-Illinois Gas & Electric C.	"	57,681,780	11,427,405	4,783,507	.716	.289
Iowa Power & Light Co.	"	115,175,752	5,773,149	2,334,724	.412	.121
Iowa Southern Utilities Co.	"	36,074,510	3,934,922	1,450,815	.613	.4704
Interstate Power Co.	"	56,124,142	6,861,918	5,246,541	.648	.354
Union Electric Co.	"	16,615,662	538,851	513,382	.252	.324
Iowa Public Service Co.	"	78,272,814	5,578,309	4,185,349	.489	.237
		467,651,169	48,155,472	33,913,829		
Interstate Power Co.	Gas	18,947,512	5,222,873	1,665,392	5.27	6.27
Iowa Power and Light Co.	"	46,072,901	11,078,599	5,191,737	4.01	1.95
Iowa Public Service Co.	"	38,697,279	15,585,364	7,883,860	2.153	.584
Iowa Electric Light & Power Co.	"	42,470,504	18,273,600	9,116,089	3.94	2.60
Iowa Southern Utilities Co.	"	13,164,254	4,494,809	2,564,467	6.86	2.72
Iowa-Illinois Gas & Electric Co.	"	63,555,100	22,591,235	15,457,112	5.73	4.53
Peoples Natural Gas Div.	"	47,227,452	12,294,931	8,272,128	3.453	1.513
		270,135,002	84,841,411	48,651,785		

APPENDIX TABLE B - VII

ELECTRIC AND GAS UTILITY RATE INCREASES THROUGH THE OPERATION OF FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSES BY STATE, 1975

Column	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
State Commission and Company	Type	Total Revenue (1975)	1975 Revenues From FAC	1974 Revenues From FAC	December 1975 FAC in ¢ /kwh or ¢ /therm	December 1974 FAC in ¢ /kwh or ¢ /therm
Kansas State Corporation Commission	Electric	NA	NA	1,211,759	.6582	.269
Empire District Elec. Co.	"	NA	NA	149,453	.259	.062
Kansas City Power & Light Co.	"	NA	NA	1,746,973	.2639	.112
Kansas Gas & Electric Co.	"	NA	NA	3,862,542	.208	.660
Kansas Power & Light Co.	"	NA	NA	9,334,086	1.002	.178
Southwestern Public Service Co.	"	NA	NA	65,786	.9815	.396
Western Power Dir. of C.T.U.	"	NA	NA	1,467,182	.4926	.096
				17,838,000		
Louisiana Public Service Commission 3/						
Central Louisiana Electric Co.	Electric	86,674,920	29,043,098	14,648,134	0.973	0.686
Gulf States Utilities Co.	"	185,953,173	NA	NA	0.462	0.342
Louisiana Power & Light Co.	"	262,303,312	17,507,444	29,429,980	0.036	0.106
Southwestern Electric Power Co.	"	50,510,542	27,444,905	19,559,628	0.441	0.281
		585,442,000	73,995,000	63,638,000		
Maine Public Utilities Commission						
Northern Utilities, Inc.	Gas	Approximately 23% of Gross Revenues of utilities in Maine are derived from PGA clauses.			0.470	- -
Maine Utility Gas Co.	Electric	Approximately 30% of Gross Revenues of Maine's large electric utilities come from FAC clauses.			0.133	- -

APPENDIX TABLE B - VII

ELECTRIC AND GAS UTILITY RATE INCREASES THROUGH THE OPERATION OF FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSES BY STATE, 1975

Column	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
State Commission and Company	Type	Total Revenue (1975)	1975 Revenues From FAC	1974 Revenues From FAC	December 1975 FAC in ¢/kwh or ¢/therm	December 1974 FAC in ¢/kwh or ¢/therm
Michigan Public Service Commission						
Consumers Power Co.	Electric	757,741,066	116,670,855	79,926,153	.387	.7
Detroit Edison Co.	"	1,052,061,034	183,622,000	109,144,504	.642	.529
Indiana & Michigan Electric	"	51,766,010	8,789,574	7,453,535	-	.614
		1,861,568,110	309,082,429	196,524,192		
Mississippi Public Service Commission						
Mississippi Power & Light	Electric	171,665,057	41,431,506	44,260,406	0.4904	0.5464
Mississippi Power Co.	"	118,123,713	28,146,938	15,604,821	1.0422	0.3204
		289,788,770	69,578,444	59,865,227		
Missouri Public Service Commission						
Ark-Mo. Power Co.	Electric	16,535,338	2,972,000	664,000	0.301	0.216
Empire District Electric Co.	"	36,670,019	3,750,000	781,000	0.260	0.103
Kansas City Power & Light	"	202,661,605	15,349,000	2,280,000	0.392	0.103
Missouri Edison Co.	"	18,040,041	1,422,000	104,000	0.213	0.057
Mo. Power & Light Co.	"	40,379,372	2,887,000	206,000	0.213	0.056
Mo. Public Service Co.	"	58,503,109	2,655,000	449,000	0.183	0.063
Mo. Utilities Co.	"	20,974,359	1,977,000	216,000	0.276	0.131
St. Joe Light & Power Co.	"	28,136,322	3,636,000	259,000	0.210	0.155
Union Electric Co.	"	441,211,650	40,939,000	4,279,000	0.257	0.169
		863,111,000	75,587,000	9,238,000		
Montana Public Service Commission						
Montana Power Co.	Gas	81,611,433	32,151,034	11,988,000	5.391	1.619
Nevada Public Service Commission	-	FAC not allowed for residential customers; therefore, included in "normal rate increase requests" elsewhere reported.				

APPENDIX TABLE B - VII

ELECTRIC AND GAS UTILITY RATE INCREASES THROUGH THE OPERATION OF FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSES BY STATE, 1975

Column State Commission and Company	(1) Type	(2) Total Revenue (1975)	(3) 1975 Revenues From FAC	(4) 1974 Revenues From FAC	(5) December 1975 FAC in ¢/kwh or ¢/therm	(6) December 1974 FAC in ¢/kwh or ¢/therm
New Hamsphire Public Utilities Commission						
Concord Electric Co.	Electric		36,500	-	-	-
Exeter & Hampton Electric Co.	"		36,000	-	-	-
N.H.Electric Coop.	"		31,600	-	-	-
Granite State Electric	"		580,067	-	.19	-
			684,167			
New Jersey Public Utility Commission						
Atlantic City Electric	Electric	199,079,000	65,806,896	56,710,189	1.4446	0.017485
Jersey Central Power	"	395,112,000	104,650,000	108,853,675	1.2971	0.010261
Public Service Electric & Gas	"	1,213,487,810	419,154,064	401,058,976	1.1951	0.019230
Rockland Electric	"	42,227,521	15,837,400	11,558,306	1.691	0.01988
Borough of Butler	"	3,337,165	64,564	30,730		0.7699
		1,853,244,000	605,513,000	578,212,000		
Elizabethtown Gas	Gas	60,558,416	17,135,653	7,556,000	0.1169	0.0363
So. Jersey Gas	"	54,427,500	20,705,656	9,678,033	0.1220	0.0245
		114,985,916	37,841,309	17,234,033		
New Mexico Public Service Commission	-	Has "no case in which this was the only reason for filing a rate increase". FAC " a secondary reason for filing".				
New York State Public Service Commission						
Village of Broctor	Electric	187,200	54,700	20,400	.7712	.7270
Central Hudson Gas & Electric	"	127,540,100	11,635,800	33,160,500	.121	.251
Consolidated Edison Co.	"	2,256,855,600	594,810,100	621,850,800	1.7282	1.8613
Fishers Island Electric Corp.	"	259,300	18,900	29,100	.50	1.25

APPENDIX TABLE B - VII

ELECTRIC AND GAS UTILITY RATE INCREASES THROUGH THE OPERATION OF FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSES BY STATE, 1975

Column	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
State Commission and Company	Type	Total Revenue (1975)	1975 Revenues From FAC	1974 Revenues From FAC	December 1975 FAC in ¢/kwh or ¢/therm	December 1974 FAC in ¢/kwh or ¢/therm
N.Y. State Public Service Commission						
Village of Freeport	Electric	5,626,200	2,373,800	2,587,700	.5294	1.9745
Village of Green Island	"	273,900	40,600	-	.7552	-
Village of Greenport	"	730,300	221,300	179,400	1.6632	1.6698
Village of Holley	"	192,900	55,100	25,800	.8790	.6876
City of Jamestown	"	7,987,400	1,825,000	1,109,700	.692	.700
Lawrence Park Heat, Light & Power	"	259,900	73,500	65,800	2.4	2.9
Long Island Lighting	"	555,893,800	171,741,000	153,815,000	1.352	1.578
New York State Electric and Gas	"	272,703,300	36,700,000	21,000,000	.4176	.5390
Niagara Mohawk Power Corp.	"	684,350,200	83,893,500	98,450,700	.5364	.7798
Orange & Rockland Utilities	"	153,516,800	22,336,500	24,425,500	.443	1.524
Pennsylvania Electric Co.	"	1,160,158	274,600	167,800	.730	.713
Village of Richmondville	"	164,800	33,100	15,100	.7586	.7534
Rochester Gas & Electric	"	171,457,200	11,717,300	9,630,200	.1309	.2274
Village of Rockville Centre	"	5,390,200	2,291,500	1,537,500	2.05	1.72
Sherrill-Kenwood Power & Light Co.	"	431,400	44,600	46,000	.3999	.7312
		4,244,979,000	157,293,000	155,271,000		
Bath Electric Gas & Water	"	1,005,400	327,000	200,300	5.04-5.67	3.10-3.52
North Carolina Utilities Commission						
Carolina Power & Light Co.	Electric	391,584,012	72,654,183	60,801,688	0.530	1.012
Duke Power Co.	"	608,347,000	71,960,182	61,030,849	0.4181	0.5127
Virginia Electric & Power Co.	"	673,679,318	9,985,544	8,837,415	0.971	0.878
		1,673,610,330	154,599,909	130,669,952		

APPENDIX TABLE B - VII

ELECTRIC AND GAS UTILITY RATE INCREASES THROUGH THE OPERATION OF FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSES BY STATE, 1975

Column	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
State Commission and Company	Type	Total Revenue (1975)	1975 Revenues From FAC	1974 Revenues From FAC	December 1975 FAC in ¢/kwh or ¢/therm	December 1974 FAC in ¢/kwh or ¢/therm
North Dakota Public Service Commission						
Montana-Dakota Utilities Co.	Electric	NA	204,334	38,334	.078	.015
Northern States Power Co.	"	NA	(38,296)	371,139	(.02)	.036
Otter Tail Power Co.	"	NA	743,433	822,410	.11	.19
			947,767	1,231,883		
Montana-Dakota Utilities Co.	Gas	NA	167,894	315,241	.36	.16
Northern States Power Co.	"	NA	4,883,531	364,057	12.48	2.58
Great Plains Natural Gas Co.	"	NA	249,887	32,055	13.49	4.31
			5,301,312	711,353		
Oregon Public Utility Commission			4/			
South Carolina Public Service Commission						
CP&L	Electric	606,329,122	152,536,966	73,791,604	0.4904	0.954
Duke Power Co.	"	954,414,228	168,642,666	109,772,458	0.3168	0.4992
SCE&G	"	263,773,207	57,117,032	43,491,338	0.584	0.908
		1,824,516,557	378,296,634	227,055,400		
Utah Public Service Commission		5/				
Virginia State Corporation Commission						
Appalachian Power Co.	Electric	203,062,154	34,175,394	65,624,872	(0.09595)	1.1838
Delmarva Power & Light Co.	"	7,545,397	1,938,753	1,514,411	1.136	1.253
Potomac Edison Co.	"	25,475,608	6,623,968	2,672,088	0.706	0.586
Potomac Electric Power Co.	"	11,998,487	4,086,879	2,488,383	1.3206	1.134
Virginia Electric Power Co.	"	775,042,306	174,932,017	135,402,427	(0.077)	0.857
		1,023,123,952	232,159,011	207,702,181		
Colonial Natural Gas Co.	Gas	5,412,779	1,961,000	1,264,000	5.552	3.098
Columbia Gas of Virginia Inc.	"	19,697,302	-	-	4.2	11.4

APPENDIX TABLE B-VII

ELECTRIC AND GAS UTILITY RATE INCREASES THROUGH THE OPERATION OF FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSES BY STATE, 1975

Column	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
State Commission and Company	Type	Total Revenue (1975)	1975 Revenues From FAC	1974 Revenues From FAC	December 1975 FAC in ¢/kwh or ¢/therm	December 1974 FAC in ¢/kwh or ¢/therm
Virginia State Corp. Commission						
Commonwealth Gas Distribution Corp.	Gas	9,515,325	2,312,643	986,025	5.229	2.408
Commonwealth Public Service Corp.	"	263,024	78,291	6,579	5.0	2.43
Lynchburg Gas	"	6,777,365	515,737	NA	-	2.0
Portsmouth Gas	"	7,791,355	119,657	58,212	4.24	3.34
Roanoke Gas	"	13,118,311	2,635,780	1,027,877	5.144	1.276
Shenandoah Gas	"	383,408	719,743	471,218	5.69	3.6
Southwestern Virginia Gas	"	1,866,314	-	-	1.35	0.97
Suffolk Gas	"	963,065	111,984	129,702	3.695	2.724
United Cities	"	602,883	175,009	158,812	4.14	2.51
Virginia Electric & Gas Co.	"	34,402,601	6,995,131	1,564,349	6.19	1.61
Virginia Pipe Line	"		391,251	NA	-	-
Citizens Gas	"		13,592	NA	-	-
Washington Gas Light	"	216,974,625	11,083,616	4,327,932	5.09	2.71
		317,768,357	27,113,434	9,994,706		
Washington Utilities & Transportation Commission			4/			
West Virginia Public Service Commission						
Total reported for state	Gas	-	13,643,959	4,749,938	0.99	0.32
Wisconsin Public Service Commission						
Annualized total for electric utilities			23,526,000	66,849,000	-	-
Wyoming Public Service Commission						
All Electric Wholesale		-	2,636,000	-	-	-
All Gas Wholesale		-	7,766,000	-	-	-

Footnotes:

1/ All 1975 FAC numbers are extrapolated from 3/4 of the year supplied.
2/ No response to this question.
3/ Electric cooperatives and gas companies not required to submit FAC revenue data.
4/ No FAC
5/ Not applicable in Utah.
6/ Does not include sales for resale which are regulated by FPC.
7/ No longer truly automatic -must be applied for and Commission must rule.

Source: Responses to questionnaire, 1975.

jj

SUMMARY OF APPENDIX TABLE B-VII
(in thousands of dollars)

State	Total Revenue 1975	FAC Revenue		
		1975	1974	1973 1/
Alaska				
Electric	—	3,027 2/	566	-0-
Gas	--	--	--	--
Total	—	3,027	566	-0-
Arizona 5/				
California				
Electric	3,402,374	60,787	585,975	149,020
Gas	621,061	550,090	287,192	137,784
Total	4,023,435	610,877	873,167	286,804
Colorado				
Electric	363,850	21,236	19,063	5,187
Gas	--	35,405	NA	--
Total	363,850	56,641 3/	19,063	5,187
Florida				
Electric	2,085,983	308,042	388,088	64,809
Gas	62,195	8,011	8,078	—
Total	2,148,128	316,053	396,166	64,809
Georgia				
Electric	1,132,078	283,841	169,644	122,203
Gas	--	--	--	--
Total	1,132,078	283,841	169,644	122,203
Hawaii				
Electric	385,554	51,623	18,339	--
Gas	22,679	1,258	NA	--
Total	408,233	52,881	18,339	--
Idaho				
Electric	—	—	--	--
Gas	81,488	7,276	10,093	--
Total	81,488	7,276	10,093	--
Illinois				
Electric	—	—	--	139,334 4/
Gas	--	290,766	--	126,477
Total	—	290,766	--	265,811
Indiana 5/				
Iowa				
Electric	461,651	48,155	33,914	--
Gas	270,135	84,841	48,652	--
Total	731,786	132,996	82,566	--
Kansas				
Electric	5/	5/	--	22,913
Gas			--	--
Total			--	22,913
Louisiana				
Electric	585,442	73,995	63,638	NR
Gas	--	--	--	
Total	585,442	73,995	63,638	
Maine				
Electric		30% of Gross Revenues		2,000
Gas		23% of Gross Revenues		237
Total				2,237
Maryland				
Electric	—	—	--	32,934
Gas	--	--	--	7,211
Total	—	—	--	40,145
Michigan				
Electric	1,861,568	309,082	196,524	24,421
Gas	--	--	--	13,932
Total	1,861,568	309,082	196,524	38,353
Mississippi				
Electric	289,789	69,578	59,865	31,170
Gas	--	--	--	--
Total	289,789	69,578	59,865	31,170
Missouri				
Electric	863,111	75,587	9,238	--
Gas	--	--	--	--
Total	863,111	75,587	9,238	--
Montana				
Electric	—	—	--	
Gas	81,611	32,151	11,988	7/
Total	81,611	32,151	11,988	
Nevada	--	--	--	7/
New Hampshire				
Electric	—	684	--	--
Gas	--	--	--	--
Total	—	684	--	--
New Jersey				
Electric	1,853,244	605,513	578,212	186,375
Gas	599,857	166,696	91,374	58,729
Total	2,453,101	772,209	669,586	245,104
New Mexico	--	6/	--	--
New York				
Electric	4,244,979	157,293	155,271	86,042
Gas	1,005	327	200	75,509
Total	4,245,984	157,620	155,471	161,551
North Carolina				
Electric	1,673,610	154,560	130,670	-0-
Gas	--	--	--	--
Total	1,673,610	154,560	130,670	--
North Dakota				
Electric	NA	948	1,232	--
Gas	NA	5,301	711	--
Total		6,249	1,943	--
Oregon	--	7/	--	--
Pennsylvania				
Electric	—	—	--	110,919
Gas	--	--	--	65,255
Total	—	—	--	176,174
South Carolina				
Electric	1,824,517	--	--	--
Gas	--	--	--	--
Total	1,824,517	--	--	--
Tennessee				
Electric	—	—	--	618
Gas	--	--	--	--
Total	—	—	--	618
Utah				
Electric	—		--	10
Gas	--	7/	--	--
Total	—		--	10
Virginia				
Electric	1,023,124	232,159	207,702	NA
Gas	317,768	27,113	9,995	NA
Total	1,340,892	259,272	217,697	
Washington	--	7/	--	--
West Virginia				
Electric	—	—	--	17,809
Gas	--	13,644	4,750	-0-
Total	--	13,644	4,750	17,809
Wisconsin				
Electric	—	23,526	66,849	8,012
Gas	--	130,118	21,001	18,186
Total	—	153,644	87,850	26,198
Wyoming				
Electric	—	2,636	--	7/
Gas	--	7,766	--	--
Total	—	10,402	--	--

SUMMARY OF APPENDIX TABLE B-VII (cont.)

Footnotes:

NR - No response.

1/ Taken from data submitted for the earlier report, *Electric and Gas Utility Rate and Fuel Adjustment Clause Increase*, 1974, Committee on Government Operations, U.S. Senate, Committee Print dated 3-27-75.

2/ Extrapolated from 3/4-year data provided.

3/ Includes PGA.

4/ Excludes Union Electric.

5/ No response to this question.

6/ Responded that had "no case where this was the primary reason for filing."

7/ No FAC at the time.

SOURCE: Appendix Table B-VII.

APPENDIX TABLE B - VIII
Fuel Clause Adjustments Included In Basic Rates in 1975

State Commission and Company	Type	Electric Roll-Ins (¢/kwh)	Natural Gas Roll-Ins (¢/therm)	Date
Alaska Public Utilities Commission		N/R	N/R	
Arizona Corporation Commission		[1]	[1]	
California Public Utilities Commission:				
San Diego Gas & Electric Co.	elec.	0.988		11-13-74*
Colorado Public Utilities Commission:				
Public Service Company of Colorado	elec.	0.141		11-21-75
Colorado-Ute Electric Association	"	0.019[2]		3-18-75
DO	"	0.02[2]		10-1-75
Florida Public Service Commission				
Florida Power & Light Company	elec.	0.609		5-1-75
Florida Power Corporation	"	1.501		8-22-75
Tampa Electric Company	"	0.731		6-20-75
Gulf Power Company	"	0.80		6-7-75
City Gas Company	gas		1.311[3]	5-17-75
Florida Gas Company	"		2.13[3]	1-11-76*
People Gas Company	"		1.776[3]	7-24-75
Georgia Public Service Commission				
Savannah Electric & Power	elec.	0.28		2-12-74*
Hawaii Public Utilities Commission				
Kauai Electric Company	elec.	[4]		
Gasco, Inc.	gas		[4]	
Idaho Public Utilities Commission		N/R	N/R	
Illinois Commerce Commission				
Central Illinois Light Co.	elec.	0.44		5-75
Central Illinois Public Service	"	0.18		3-75
Illinois Power Company	"	0.22		3-75
Interstate Power Company	"	0.30		12-74*
Union Electric Company	"	0.085		3-74*
Iowa-Illinois Gas & Electric Company	gas		3.94	10-31-75

APPENDIX TABLE B - VIII - continued
Fuel Clause Adjustments Included In Basic Rates in 1975

State Commission and Company	Type	Electric Roll-Ins (¢/kwh)	Natural Gas Roll-Ins (¢/therm)	Date
Illinois Commerce Commission (cont.)				
Illinois Power Company	gas		5.57[5]	4-7-75
DO	"		3.95[5]	4-7-75
DO	"		5.85[5]	4-7-75
DO	"		3.51[5]	4-7-75
Central Illinois Light Co.	"		3.00	5-21-75
Central Illinois Public Service Co.	"		2.00	3-20-75
North Shore Gas Company	"		4.03	10-1-75
Northern Illinois Gas Company	"		4.10	7-16-75
Peoples Gas Light & Coke Co.	"		3.30	10-1-75
Mt. Carmel Public Utility Company	"		2.00	1-1-76*
Town Gas Company of Illinois	"		3.27[6]	3-26-75
DO	"		3.15[7]	3-26-75
Indiana Public Service Commission		N/R	N/R	
Iowa State Commerce Commission		N/R	N/R	
Kansas State Corporation Commission				
Kansas Gas & Electric Co.	elec.	0.1712		12-74*
Kansas Power & Light Company	"	0.18327		3-75
Louisiana Public Service Commission		none	none	
Maine Public Utilities Commission		N/R	N/R	
Michigan Public Service Commission				
Consumers Power Company	elec.	0.28[8]		1-23-75
Detroit Edison Company	"	0.08[9]		2-4-75
Indiana & Michigan Electric Company	"	0.342		6-9-75
Minnesota Department of Public Service		N/R	N/R	
Mississippi Public Service Commission				
Mississippi Power & Light	elec.	0.45		2-17-74*
Mississippi Power Company	"	0.45		4-1-74*
Missouri Public Service Commission		N/R	N/R	
Montana Public Service Commission		none	none	
Nevada Public Service Commission		N/R	N/R	

APPENDIX TABLE B - VIII - continued
Fuel Clause Adjustments Included In Basic Rates in 1975

State Commission and Company	Type	Electric Roll-Ins (¢/kwh)	Natural Gas Roll-Ins (¢/therm)	Date
New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission		none		
New Jersey Department of Public Utilities				
Public Service Electric and Gas Company	elec.	0.30		
DO	gas		1.60[10]	11-7-75
DO	"		1.00[11]	11-7-75
South Jersey Gas Co.	"		0.22	1-1-76*
New Mexico Public Service Commission				
El Paso Electric Company	elec.	0.55[12]		N/R
DO	"	0.71[13]		N/R
Public Service Company of New Mexico		none		
New York State Public Service Commission				
Freeport, Village of	elec.	1.5		2-1-75
DO	"	0.105[14]		8-1-75
Jamestown, City of	"	0.10		3-20-75
New York State Electric and Gas Corp.	"	0.03[12]		10-21-75
DO	"	0.31[15]		10-21-75
DO	"	0.0014[12] [14]		12-1-75
DO	"	0.0166[15] [14]		12-1-75
Niagara Mohawk Power Corp.	"	0.0905[12]		3-11-75
DO	"	0.50[15]		3-11-75
DO	"	0.0109[12] [14]		3-31-75
DO	"	0.0612[15] [14]		3-31-75
DO	gas		1.0	3-11-75
Orange and Rockland Utilities, Inc.	elec.	0.60		9-9-75
DO	"	0.034[17]		11-1-75
Sherrill-Kenwood Power and Light Corp.	elec.	0.0903		1-1-75
DO	"	0.61		5-1-75
Central Hudson Gas and Electric Corp.	"	0.042[14]		1-15-75
Brooklyn Union Gas Company	gas		6.00[3]	10-29-75
DO	"		7.00[16]	10-29-75
Columbia Gas of New York	"		5.80	12-1-75
Corning Natural Gas Corp.	"		3.067	4-17-75
DO	"		2.949[17]	4-17-75
Long Island Lighting Co.	"		1.60[3]	9-11-75
DO	"		1.10[16]	9-11-75
Pavilion Natural Gas Co.	"		1.66	11-15-75
Pennsylvania & Southern Gas Co.	"		4.00	5-1-75
Southern Tier Gas Corp.	"		4.00	5-1-75
Syracuse Suburban Gas Co.	"		4.50	11-1-75

APPENDIX TABLE B - VIII - continued
Fuel Clause Adjustments Included In Basic Rates in 1975

State Commission and Company	Type	Electric Roll-Ins (¢/kwh)	Natural Gas Roll-Ins (¢/therm)	Date
North Carolina Utilities Commission		18/	18/	
North Dakota Public Service Commission				
Montana-Dakota Utilities Co.	elec.	0.105		8-75
DO	gas		0.16	1-75
Otter Tail Power Company	elec.	0.16		8-75
Northern States Power Co.	"	0.168		8-75
Oregon Public Utility Commission		N/A	N/A	
South Carolina Public Service Commission		N/R	N/R	
South Dakota Public Utilities Commission		N/R	N/R	
Utah Public Service Commission		N/A	N/A	
Virginia State Corporation Commission				
Appalachian Power Company	elec.	0.85475		5-9-75
Virginia Electric & Power Company	"	0.967[19]		10-2-75
Portsmouth Gas Company	gas		0.01935	2-1-75
Suffolk Gas Company	"		0.02206	1-13-75
Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission		N/A	N/A	
West Virginia Public Service Commission				
Appalachian Power	elec.	0.98		pending
Black Diamond Power Co.	"	0.81225		4-1-75
Chesapeake Light & Water Co.	"	0.74		pending
Craig-Botetourt Electric Cooperative	"	0.951		4-1-75
Elk Power Company	"	1.071		4-1-75
Elkhorn Public Service Co.	"	0.96915		4-1-75
Harrison Rural Electric Association	"	0.70		4-1-75
Kimball Light & Water Co.	"	1.071		4-1-75
Monongahela Power Co.	"	0.801-0.878[20]		4-1-75
New Martinsville Municipal Electric	"	0.94		4-1-75
DO	"	0.83		9-75
City of Philippi Electric	"	0.7		4-1-75

APPENDIX TABLE B - VIII - continued
Fuel Clause Adjustments Included In Basic Rates in 1975

State Commission and Company	Type	Electric Roll-Ins (¢/kwh)	Natural Gas Roll-Ins (¢/therm)	Date
West Virginia Public Service Commission (cont.)				
Potomac Edison Company	elec.	0.747-0.807[21/]		4-1-75
Preston County Coke	"	0.908		pending
Preston County Light & Power	"	1.043		pending
Shenandoah Valley Electric Coop.	"	0.970		4-1-75
Standard Utility Service Corp.	"	0.76		pending
Union Power Company	"	0.81225		pending
United Light & Power Company	"	0.83662[22/]		pending
Virginia Electric & Power Co.	"	0.981		4-1-75
War Light & Power Company	"	0.83662[22/]		4-1-75
Wheeling Electric Company	"	0.82		pending
Wisconsin Public Service Commission		N/R	N/R	
Wyoming Public Service Commission		N/A	N/A	

APPENDIX TABLE B - VIII - continued

FOOTNOTES

N/R No response

N/A Not applicable

* Reported for years other than 1975.

1/ Report that "all FAC s have been folded into basic rates at the end of the test year involved."

2/ When Colorado-Ute Electric Association which sells electricity wholesale, folded in the FAC, the following utilities waited 30 days to several months for the Commission to approve a general rate increase or a pass-on rate increase: Delta-Montrose Electric Association; Grand Valley Rural Power Lines; Holy Cross Electric Association; San Luis Valley Rural Electric; San Miguel Power Association; Southeast Colorado Power; White River Electric Association.

3/ Firm gas.

4/ Total dollars for FAC fold-ins given as:
Gasco, Inc. - $184,670 on 1-8-75
Gasco, Inc. - $946,876 on 11-1-75
Kauai Electric Co. - $436,177 on 12-11-75

5/ Various gas roll-ins for rates 50,52,54, and 58, respectively.

6/ Farm tap.

7/ Town division.

8/ Applies to increase in base after January 23, 1975; but prior to that date, FAC was based on fossil fuel costs and after that date, on fossil and nuclear fuel costs.

9/ Commercial and industrial rates only.

10/ For rate schedules RSG, GSG, LVG, and HSG.

11/ For rate schedules ISG and OPG.

12/ Residential rates.

13/ Industrial rates.

14/ Represents amortized portion of FAC roll-in. The amortization period is generally three years, except for Village of Freeport, wherein the period is two years.

15/ Non-residential rates; average roll-in.

16/ Interruptible gas.

17/ Resale.

18/ Automatic fuel adjustment clauses were eliminated on September 1, 1975 by the N.C. General Assembly.

19/ VEPCO also has a surcharge of 0.0434 per kwh for 4 years to represent the amortized portion of FAC roll-in.

20/ Varies for 8 rate schedules.

21/ Varies for 10 rate schedules.

22/ Rounded.

APPENDIX TABLE B - IX

FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSES ROLLED INTO BASIC RATES IN 1975 IN RELATION TO FACs IN DECEMBER 1974 and 1975.

Commission/Utility	Rolled in FAC in 1975 (1)	December 1974 FAC (2)	December 1975 FAC (3)	Percent of December 1974 FAC Rolled in Rate in 1975 (4)	December 1974 to December 1975 Percent Change in FAC (5)	Total Percentage Increase in Fuel Charges in 1975 (6)
ELECTRIC	(c/kwh)			(percent)		
Colorado Public Service Commission						
Public Service Company of Colorado	0.141	0.0995	0.0902	141.7	(9.3)	137.4
Colorado-Ute Electric Association	0.039 1/	0.0774	0.1004	50.4	29.7	80.1
Kansas State Corporation Commission						
Kansas Gas & Electric Company	0.1712	0.660	0.208	25.9	(68.5)	(42.5)
Kansas Power & Light Company	0.18327	0.178	1.002	103.0	462.9	565.9
Michigan Public Service Commission						
Consumers Power Company	0.28 2/	0.70	0.387	40.0	(44.7)	(4.7)
Detroit Edison company	0.08 3/	0.529	0.642	15.1	21.4	36.5
Indiana & Michigan Electric Co.	0.342	0.614	___	55.7	----	-----
New Jersey Department of Public Utilities						
Public Service Electric & Gas Co.	0.30	1.923	1.1951	15.6	(37.9)	(22.3)
New York State Public Service Commission 4/						
Village of Freeport	1.50	1.9745	0.5294	76.0	(73.2)	2.8
City of Jsmestown	0.10	0.700	0.692	14.3	(1.1)	13.1
New York State Electric & Gas Corp.	0.03 5/	0.1384 5/	0.0498 5/	21.7 5/	(64.0) 5/	(42.3) 5/
DO	0.31 6/	0.5390 6/	0.4176 6/	57.5 6/	(22.5) 6/	35.0 6/
Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation	0.0905 5/	0.1600 5/	0.0694 5/	56.6 5/	(56.6) 5/	(0.1) 5/
DO	0.50 6/	0.7798 6/	0.5374 6/	64.1 6/	(31.1) 6/	33.0 6/
Orange and Rockland Utilities	0.60	1.524	0.443	39.4	(70.9)	(31.6)
Sherrill-Kenwood Power & Light Co.	0.7003 7/	0.7312	0.3999	95.8	(45.3)	50.5
North Dakota Public Service Commission						
Montana-Dakota Utilities	0.105	0.015	0.078	700.0	420.0	1120.0
Otter Tail Power Company	0.160	0.190	0.110	84.2	(42.1)	42.1
Northern States Power Company	0.168	0.036	(0.02)	466.7	(155.6)	311.1
Virginia State Corporation Commission						
Appalachian Power Company	0.85475	1.1838	(0.09595)	72.2	(108.1)	(35.9)
Virginia Electric & Power Company	0.967 8/	0.857	(0.77)	112.8	(189.8)	(77.0)
NATURAL GAS	(c/therm)					
New Jersey Department of Public Utilities						
Public Service Electric and Gas Co.	1.60 9/	5.617	6.4986	28.5	15.7	44.2
New York Public Service Commission						
Brooklyn Union Gas Company	6.0 10/	5.45 10/	5.569 10/	110.1	2.2	112.3
DO	7.0 11/	3.15 11/	4.860 11/	222.2	54.3	276.5
Columbia Gas of New York	5.8	3.36	0.34	172.6	(89.9)	82.7
Corning Natural Gas Corporation	3.067	4.598	2.293	66.7	(50.1)	16.6
DO	2.949 12/	3.206 12/	1.982 12/	92.0	(38.2)	53.8
Long Island Lighting Company	1.60 10/	3.2277	6.9390	49.6	115.0	164.6
Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation	1.0	1.59	4.06	62.9	155.3	218.2
Pavilion Natural Gas Co., Inc.	1.66	1.52	1.86 10/	109.2	22.4	131.6
Pennsylvania & Southern Gas Co.	4.0	3.2055	0.09	124.8	(97.2)	27.6
Southern Tier Gas Corporation	4.0	3.7	1.284	108.1	(65.3)	42.8
Syracuse Suburban Gas Co.	4.50	2.918	0.465	154.2	(84.1)	70.2
North Dakota Public Service Commission						
Montana-Dakota Utilities Co.	0.16	0.16	0.36	100.0	125.0	225.0
Virginia State Corporation Commission						
Portsmouth Gas Company	0.01935	0.334	0.424	5.8	26.9	32.7
Suffolk Gas Company	0.02206	2.724	3.695	0.8	35.6	36.5

APPENDIX TABLE B-IX

FOOTNOTES

( ) Indicates negative.

1/ Represents two fold-ins of 2 mills and 1.9 mills over the year.

2/ Increase in base after January 23, 1975. Prior to that date, FAC based on fossil fuel costs; after that date, on fossil and nuclear fuel costs.

3/ Commercial and industrial rates only.

4/ Excludes amortized portion of FAC roll-ins.

5/ For residential rates.

6/ For non-residential rates

7/ Sum of roll-ins in January and May 1975.

8/ Not included is a VEPCO surcharge of 0.043¢ per kwh for 4 years to represent the amortized portion of FAC roll-ins.

9/ Applies to most schedules; 1.0¢ per therm was folded in for other schedules.

10/ Firm gas sales, only.

11/ Interruptible gas sales.

12/ Gas for resale.

Source: Commission responses to questionnaire, 1975.

APPENDIX TABLE B-X

COMMISSION COMMENTS ON FAC ACCURACY AND/OR RESPONSES TO CRITICISMS OF FAC OPERATIONS

Arizona Corporation Commission:

A program of auditing has been instituted to insure the accuracy of fuel costs.

California Public Utilities Commission:

Commission issued Order Instituting Investigation of FACs, Case 9886, in which hearings were held and briefs submitted in December 1975. A decision was pending as of March 2, 1976. In decision No. 84902 (a rate increase), September 16, 1975, Commissioner Leonard Ross stated that California utilities over collected $321 million beyond their present fuel expenses.

Colorado Public Utilities Commission:

Monthly review of the fossil fuel costs of utilities which have automatic fuel adjustments. For the largest utility in the state, Public Service Company of Colorado, the FAC is no longer completely automatic. Affirmative action of the Commission (through a general rate increase or by application of the company) is required before the FAC rate can be increased, but downward adjustments are required to occur automatically.

Florida Public Service Commission:

Based on a Commission order, hearings were held in the Fall 1974 and Spring 1975 to investigate fuel adjustment clauses of investor-owned utilities (see Order No. 6351, issued November 26, 1974). The Commission reaffirmed the use of a FAC formula originally established in 1960, but motified it by: limiting the fuel cost to the delivered cost plus transportation charges; including energy costs of purchased power; and modifing the tax multiplier portion of the clause to include regulatory trust fund taxes and to exclude municiple franchise taxes. Public notice and hearings are required before changes in the level of utility's fuel adjustment is allowed. Monthly hearings are required to verify the mechanics of the charge, and quarterly hearings, to conduct a comprehensive audit of a company's fuel expenses and related data.

Georgia Public Service Commission:

Current audits of the utilities' fuel accounts now underway.

Hawaii Public Utilities Commission:

Requires complete documentation of all fuel increase including statement from the fuel supplier as well as all calculations made in arriving at the final fuel adjustment.

Illinois Commerce Commission:

Utilities' computations for electric fuel adjustments are filed each month and are reviewed by Commission's Electric Section. For gas, the utility is required to file new Purchased Gas Adjustments each time the cost of gas to the utility increases or decreases. The PGA provisions allow for the pass-on of refunds received from pipeline suppliers. The filing includes detailed calculations of the change, and the authority for and impact of the change. The calculations are reviewed by the Gas Section which verifies the calculations by inspection of interstate pipeline supplier rates and refunds, which are subject to FPC jurisdiction.

Indiana Public Service Commission:

As a result of many questions concerning the fuel adjustment clause, review of the practice was undertaken by the Commission in response to a petition of the Public Counselor of Indiana. The Commission order emerging from the review: described a formula to be used by generating utilities for defining and calculating the FAC, including primary and purchased fuel costs and taxes associated with fuel costs; required the filing of an application for a change in FAC; required conformance of all generating utilities FACs to the order's provisions; limited the increases in FAC adjustments for larger use residential customers under special circumstances; and required that separate calculations of FAC be removed from the face of the customer's bill and instead notify the customer at least every three months of any changes in fuel costs.

Kansas State Corporation Commission:

Commission approval required for any change in FACs above or below base. Utilities file with the Commission proposed changes, expresed in cents per kwh, 30 days prior to the billing. All classes of customers now have FACs, but only in the last year or two have FACs been applied to residential customers.

Louisiana Public Service Commission:

Utilities under Commission jurisdiction must submit fuel adjustments along with all fuel invoices at monthly hearings prior to FAC application. Commission supplies written approval before FAC is collected.

Maine Public Utilities Commission:

Through enactment of Chapter 489, P.L., 1975, "An Act to Prohibit the Arbitrary Imposition of Certain Fuel Charges by Electric Power Utilities," the Maine legislature required the Public Utilities Commission to report to it by December 1975 on investigations and examinations of fuel charges by utilities levied in the state since January 1973. The Commission reported that it has reviewed, on a monthly basis, and approved the formula for fuel cost adjustments for all utilities in the state. Although the Commission has not conducted field audits of the FACs, the utilities' earnings indicate that there has been no abuse of the FAC. Commission review of FACs are not full scale audit investigations, but do compare the utilities' figures with prevailing fuel costs and check the mathematical calculations.

Michigan Public Service Commission:

The Commission staff recently completed an investigation of the acquistion practices and the application of FACs. Staff found, first, that audits of fuel procurements, contracts and costs should be improved and expanded. Staff secondly recommended that utilities' costing practices should be amended to improve the FAC accuracy in matching revenues with actual incurred fuel expenses. Also, staff recommended more complete documentation of management's fuel procurement decisions as well as several additional reporting requirements concerning fuel costs and FAC revenues. Finally, staff instituted several provisions or procedures to create incentives for economic purchases of fuel and adequate justification of utility managements' decisions on fuel procurement and FAC operation.

Mississippi Public Service Commission:

Since September 1973, electric utilities have been ordered to submit to the Commission "all fuel cost adjustment calculations" each month prior to billing for verification by the Chief Accountant of the Commission. Further, the submission must include proof of the actual fuel costs, and changes in rates can be made only after verification by the Chief Accountant.

Montana Public Service Commission:

Commission periodically examines "Montana Power Company data to insure accuracy and validity."

Nevada Public Service Commission:

FACs not allowed for residential customers, thus fuel costs are recovered through normal rate requests. Commission did not respond to the question of the verification of the accuracy of those FACs which are in operation.

New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission:

Accounting firm audited the Public Service Company of New Hampshire's fuel surcharge in 1975. On a regular basis, Staff receives monthly filing prior to the effective date of surcharge.

New Jersey Public Utility Commission:

Within the last 12 months, Commission has received numerous customer complaints regarding FACs, Raw Materials Clauses and/or Gas Purchase Clauses. Commission hired a private management consulting firm to review the fuel purchasing practices and policies of the four major electric utilities in the state for the period January 1973 through June 1974. The report,of February 23, 1975, indicated that the utilities had exercised prudent judgement in the purchasing and use of fuel. The consultants also reviewed the future fuel purchasing plans of the utilities for 1975 through 1984 and concluded that the plans appeared sound. Staff reviews for accuracy each utility's adjustment filing before any change is effected.

New Mexico Public Service Commission:

Staff checks monthly report filed by each utility. At the end of each calander year, a "reconciliation" must be filed and verified.

New York State Public Service Commission:

Commission policy is to roll into the base rates as much of the prevailing fuel cost adjustments as is practical. To check on the accuracy of FAC adjustments, staff compiles and maintains current statistical data on fuel prices paid by utilities in the state and analyzes monthly computations by utilities of average fuel costs and charges. On at least an annual basis, invoices and other purchase records of the utilities are reviewed for accuracy. Commission requires that utilities compute the fuel costs to include changes in efficiency of the generating units burning the fuel; staff engineers monitor the charges to determine if deteriorations in efficiency rates are the fault of the company. Commission does not hold formal hearings on increases in rates through the operation of FACs. With respect to the gas adjustment clause, the Commission as well as gas utilities in the state regularly intervene in gas pipeline rate requests before the FPC to argue for the lowest reasonable rates possible.

North Carolina Utilities Commission:

Automatic fuel adjustment clauses were eliminated by legislative action of the state General Assembly on September 1, 1975. As part of the statute, public hearings in rate cases based solely on fuel costs are allowed, and decisions by the Commission must be rendered within 90 days of the application. Commission also requires filing that reflect any decreases in utilities' fuel costs. Commission has recommended a formula expressing fuel costs on a cents per kwh of sales basis above a given base cost, for use in rate filings.

North Dakota Public Service Commission:

Commission approves each monthly adjustment by formal action after examination by Staff.

Oregan Public Utility Commission:

FACs not allowed.

South Carolina Public Service Commission:

FACs are audited by the Commission staff on a periodic basis.

Utah Public Service Commission:

FACs not allowed.

Virginia State Corporation Commission:

One full time Staff member and one member on a part time basis verify the accuracy of FAC computations and implementation. Each month, Staff also checks for the appropriateness of including items in clauses and assures that customers are accurately billed. Commission requires monthly reports pertaining to FACs to be submitted and has recently instituted quarterly hearings on "fuel clause matters" requiring utilities' representative to be present for questioning.

Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission:

FACs not allowed.

West Virginia Public Service Commission:

Electric FACs were abolished as of April 1, 1975, thus all fuel costs are included with the basic tariffs. As a result, all 21 utilities in the state were subject to analysis and hearings. Fuel costs are under continuing analysis, and fuel purchases are monitored on a monthly basis. Special cost allocation studies are in progress to determine if various classes of customers are paying their "fair share" of the costs of electric service. For gas utilities, a Fuel Cost (purchased gas) Adjustment has been available since July 1974. Monthly reporting requirements include historic data as to base cost, purchases and sales, other statistics, current rate schedules, prices and costs. Information and filing are monitored by Commission reviews and field inspections of utility records.

Wisconsin Public Service Commission:

As of September 9, 1974, Commission requires all utilities to file reports on fuel and power adjustment changes. Reports include unit cost, new and previous adjustments, annual revenue effect and number of customers affected. Electric utilities also file budget estimates of energy costs; detailed explanation and possible resending of application for FAC change required if there are deviations from the budget estimates. Adjustment clauses for gas utilities are solely pass throughs of FPC approved increases.

Wyoming Public Service Commission:

FACs not allowed.

Source: 1975 Commission responses to questionnaire.

jj

ADDENDUM

ADDITIONAL RESPONSE

The following response to the survey was not included in the study prepared by the Library of Congress since the reply from the Public Service Commission of the District of Columbia was not received until after the deadline.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

1625 I Street, Northwest
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20006
(202) 727-1000

COMMISSIONERS

Ruth Hankins-Nesbitt, Chairperson
H. Mason Neely, Vice Chairman
William R. Stratton, Commissioner

C. Francis Murphy
General Counsel

Linus H. Deeny
Assistant Corporation Counsel

STAFF

Mary E. Brazelton
Executive Secretary

Seymour Manheimer
Chief Accountant

Norman B. Belt
Chief Engineer

James F. Whitescarver, Jr.
Director of Securities

July 2, 1976

Honorable Edmund S. Muskie
Chairman, Subcommittee on Intergovernmental Relations
Honorable Lee Metcalf
Chairman, Subcommittee on Reports, Accounting and Management
United States Senate
Washington, D. C. 20510

Dear Senators Muskie and Metcalf:

In response to your letter of December 18, 1975, please accept our apologies for the delay. The Commission does not receive the annual reports of the companies involved until April 1, based on the prior calendar year. Also, our workload has been such that an earlier reply was impossible.

We trust that the attached reply will be of some assistance to you.

Very truly yours,

Mary E. Brazelton

Mary E. Brazelton
Executive Secretary

MGM:gc

Attachments

SUBCOMMITTEE ON
[illegible]
JUL 8 1976
INTERGOVERNMENTAL RELATIONS

STATE COMMISSION QUESTIONNAIRE

Table of Energy Utility Rate Increases, 1975

Completed Questionnaires should be mailed to Senate Subcommittee on Intergovernmental Relations, 508 Carroll Arms,
Washington, D.C. 20510
Attention: David Johnson

I. Rate Increase Granted By PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA during 1975 1/
(Type in state regulatory body name)

Utility Type (Electric or Gas)	Amount Requested $ Change	Amount Requested % Increase	Amount Granted	Allowed Rate of Return (1975)	Actual Rate of Return (1975)	Date of Disposition
Washington Gas Light Co. - Gas	$ 9,006,000	20.7%	$ 6,795,000	8.75%	3.64%	2/1/75
Potomac Electric Power Co. - Electric	48,243,000	25.3%	27,657,000	9.10%	6.89%	11/12/75

II. Rate Increases Pending Before Your Commission

Utility Type (Electric or Gas)	Amount Requested $ Change	Amount Requested % Increase	Allowed Rate of Return (1975)	Requested Rate of Return (if different)	Date Filed
Washington Gas Light Co. - Gas	$ 7,494,000	11.98%	8.75%	9.5%	9/30/75
Potomac Electric Power Co. - Elec.	52,324,000	22.10%	9.10%	9.75% + .75% a/	12/29/75

a/ Attrition allowance

III. Rate Increases Through Operation of Automatic Fuel Adjustment Clauses (FAC)*

Utility Type (Electric or Gas)	Total Revenue (1975)	1975 Revenues from FAC	1974 Revenues from FAC	December 1975 FAC in ¢/kwh or ¢/therm	December 1974 FAC Revenues in ¢/kwh or ¢/therm	
Washington Gas Light Company - Gas	$ 56,338,000	$10,535,000**	$ 4,483,000**	5.12¢/Therm	3.83¢/Therm	
Potomac Electric Power Company - Electric	204,488,616	57,531,088	47,230,910	.94067¢/KWH .91478¢/KWH	1.23876¢/KWH- 1.18141¢/KWH	All Schedules Except HT Schedule HT

IV. If previous FAC has been folded into basic rates, please give date and amount folded in.
Washington Gas Light Company - 2/1/75 .80¢/therm -- Potomac Electric Power Company N/A

V. Please enclose comments regarding Commission actions to maintain FAC accuracy, or to otherwise respond to criticism arising from the operation of fuel adjustment clauses. See Attachment

VI. What is your commission policy regarding allowance of advertising and sales promotion, including dues to trade organizations for these purposes, in operating expenses? If commission policy changed in 1975 please describe changes.
See Attachment

(*) FAC includes both fuel adjustment clauses (electric utilities) and purchase gas adjustment clauses (gas utilities)
1/ All information is District of Columbia only.
** Current collections only.

Attachment

V. The Commission audits the fuel adjustment clause and the purchased gas adjustment clause on a monthly basis. Any discrepancies uncovered by the audit are called to the attention of the companies and corrected before being used by the billing department of the utilities.

VI. Must be accounted for in accordance with the Federal Power Commission Uniform System of Accounts, adopted by this Commission.

UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA
3 1262 09113 6050